arXiv:1211.0981v1 [math.RA] 5 Nov 2012

SKEW GROUP ALGEBRAS, INVARIANTS AND WEYL ALGEBRAS

ROBERTO MARTÍNEZ-VILLA AND JERONIMO MONDRAGÓN

Abstract. The aim of this paper is two fold:

First to study finite groups G of automorphisms of the homogenized Weyl algebra B_n, the skew group algebra $B_n * G$, the ring of invariants B_n^G, and the relations of these algebras with the Weyl algebra A_n, with the skew group algebra $A_n * G$, and with the ring of invariants A_n^G. Of particular interest is the case $n = 1$.

In the on the other hand, we consider the invariant ring $C[X]^G$ of the polynomial ring $K[X]$ in n generators, where G is a finite subgroup of $Gl(n, C)$ such that any element in G different from the identity does not have one as an eigenvalue. We study the relations between the category of finitely generated modules over $C[X]^G$ and the corresponding category over the skew group algebra $C[X] * G$. We obtain a generalization of known results for $n = 2$ and G a finite subgroup of $Sl(2, C\ )$. In the last part of the paper we extend the results for the polynomial algebra $C[X]$ to the homogenized Weyl algebra B_n.

1. Automorphism of the homogenized Weyl algebra.

In tis section we will assume the reader is familiar with basic results on Weyl algebras as in $[Co]$, For results on the homogenized Weyl algebra we refer to [MMo]

Let K be a field of zero characteristic. In this section we consider the it homogenized Weyl algebra B_n defined by generators and relations as:

$B_n = K < X_1, X_2, ...X_n, Y_1, Y_2, ...Y_n, Z > /\{[X_i, \delta_j] - \partial_{ij}Z^2,\ [X_i, X_j],\ [Y_i, Y_j],$ $[X_i, Z‘], [Y_i, Z‘]\}$, with $K < X_1, X_2, ...X_n, Y_1, Y_2, ...Y_n, Z >$the free algebra in $2n+1$ generators, $[u, v‘]$ the commutator $uv - vu$ and δ_{ij}, Kronecker's delta.

It is known B_n has a Poincare-Birkoff basis and it is quadratic, hence by [Li], [GH] it is Koszul. Let $B_n^!$ be its Yoneda algebra [GM1],[GM2], $B_n^! = \underset{k\geq 0}{\oplus} Ext_B^k(K, K)$. The algebra $B_n^!$ has the same quiver as B_n and relations orthogonal with respect to the canonical bilinear form, it is easy to see that $B_n^!$ has the following form: $B_n^! = K_q[X_1, X_2, ...X_n, Y_1, Y_2, ...Y_n, Z]/\{X_i^2, Y_j^2, \sum_{i=0}^{n} X_iY_i + Z^2\}$,
where $K_q[X_1, X_2, ...X_n, Y_1, Y_2, ...Y_n, Z]$ denote the quantum polynomial ring.

$K < X_1, X_2, ...X_n, Y_1, Y_2, ...Y_n, Z > /\{(X_i, X_j), (Y_i, Y_j), (X_i, Z), (Y_i, Z)\}$. Here (u, v) denotes the anti commutators $uv + vu$.

The polynomial algebra $C_n = K[X_1, X_2, ...X_n, Y_1, Y_2, ...Y_n, Z]$ is a Koszul algebra with Yoneda algebra $C_n^! = K_q[X_1, X_2, ...X_n, Y_1, Y_2, ...Y_n, Z]/\{X_i^2, Y_j^2\}$. The

---

Date: November 5, 2012.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05C38, 15A15; Secondary 05A15, 15A18.

Key words and phrases. Group invariants, skew group algebras, Weyl algebras.

Weyl algebra is defined by $A_n = K < X_1, X_2, ...X_n, Y_1, Y_2, ...Y_n, > /\{[X_i, Y_j] - \partial_{ij}, [X_i, X_j]‘, [Y_i, Y_j], [X_i, Z‘], [Y_i, Z]‘\}$.

Observe we obtain C_n as a quotient of B_n and $C_n^!$ is a sub algebra of $B_n^!$.

These algebras are related as follows: $B_n\ /ZB_n \cong C_n$ and $B_n\ /(Z-1)B_n \cong A_n$

The algebra $B_n^!$ is a free $C_n^!$-module of rank two.

In fact we have:

Proposition 1. *There exists a $C_n^!$-module decomposition: $B_n^! = C_n^! \oplus ZC_n^!$.*

We consider now a finite group G of grade preserving automorphisms of B_n.

It was proved in [MMo] that the center of B_n is $K[Z]$ and any automorphism $\sigma \in G$ takes the center to the center, and since σ is grade preserving $\sigma(Z)$ is an homogeneous element of degree one, hence $\sigma(Z) = \lambda_\sigma Z$. We are assuming G has finite order m, it follows $\sigma^m(Z) = Z = \lambda_\sigma^m Z$, and λ_σ is an m-th root of unity. If we denote by $\sqrt[m]{1}$ the group of m roots of unity, there is a group homomorphism $\eta : G \to \sqrt[m]{1}$ given by $\eta(\sigma) = \lambda_\sigma$, since $\sqrt[m]{1}$ is a cyclic group the image of η is cyclic and we have a group extension: $1 \to N \to G \to Z_k \to 0$ with Z_k the cyclic group of order k and N is the subgroup of G such that for every σ in G, $\sigma(Z) = Z$.

Since B_n is generated in degree one, the action of G on B_n is determined by the action on $M = (B_n)_1 = \overset{n}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} KX_i \oplus (\overset{n}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} KY_i) \oplus KZ$.

To determine the structure of G we need to look for automorphisms of M which leave KZ invariant and preserve the relations: $[X_i, \delta_j]=\partial_{ij}Z^2$, $[X_i, X_j]= [Y_i, Y_j]= 0$.

For any element $\sigma$of G we have equations:

$$\sigma(\mathrm{X}_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{A}_{2i-1,2j-1}\mathrm{X}_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{A}_{2i,2j-1}\mathrm{Y}_i + \mu_j Z$$
$$\sigma(\mathrm{Y}_k) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \mathrm{A}_{2\ell-1,2k}\mathrm{X}_\ell + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \mathrm{A}_{2\ell,2k}\mathrm{Y}_\ell + \nu_k Z$$
$$\sigma(Z) = \lambda Z.$$

We must have equalities:

$\sigma(\mathrm{X}_j\mathrm{X}_k - \mathrm{X}_k\mathrm{X}_j) = 0 = \sigma(\mathrm{X}_j)\sigma(\mathrm{X}_k) - \sigma(\mathrm{X}_k)\sigma(\mathrm{X}_j)$.

Using the relations that define B_n, we obtain after cancellation the following equation involving 2×2 determinants:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \begin{vmatrix} A_{2i-1,2j-1} & A_{2i,2j-1} \\ A_{2i-1,2k-1} & A_{2i,2k-1} \end{vmatrix} (\mathrm{X}_i\mathrm{Y}_i - \mathrm{Y}_i\mathrm{X}_i) = 0.$$

Since for every i we have $\mathrm{X}_i\mathrm{Y}_i - \mathrm{Y}_i\mathrm{X}_i = \mathrm{Z}^2$, it follows:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \begin{vmatrix} A_{2i-1,2j-1} & A_{2i,2j-1} \\ A_{2i-1,2k-1} & A_{2i,2k-1} \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

We may assume $j < k$. Using that a matrix and its transpose have the same determinant we obtain the equivalent relations:

$$1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \begin{vmatrix} A_{2i-1,2j-1} & A_{2i-1,2k-1} \\ A_{2i,2j-1} & A_{2i,2k-1} \end{vmatrix} = 0, \text{ for all } j,\ k \text{ with } j < k.$$

In a similar way, for we obtain from the relation:

$\sigma(\mathrm{Y}_j\mathrm{Y}_k - \mathrm{Y}_k\mathrm{Y}_j) = 0 = \sigma(\mathrm{Y}_j)\sigma(\mathrm{Y}_k) - \sigma(\mathrm{Y}_k)\sigma(\mathrm{Y}_j)$, the following equation:

$$2)\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \begin{vmatrix} A_{2i-1,2j} & A_{2i-1,2k} \\ A_{2i,2j} & A_{2i,2k} \end{vmatrix} = 0, \text{ for all } j,\ k \text{ with } j < k.$$

From the relation:

$\sigma(\mathrm{X}_j\mathrm{Y}_j - \mathrm{Y}_j\mathrm{X}_j) = \sigma(\mathrm{X}_j)\sigma(\mathrm{Y}_j) - \sigma(\mathrm{Y}_j)\sigma(\mathrm{X}_j) = \sigma(Z^2) = \lambda^2 Z^2$, the following equation:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \begin{vmatrix} A_{2i-1,2j-1} & A_{2i-1,2j} \\ A_{2i,2j-1} & A_{2i,2j} \end{vmatrix} (\mathrm{X}_i\mathrm{Y}_i - \mathrm{Y}_i\mathrm{X}_i) = \lambda^2\ \mathrm{Z}^2.$$

Since for every i we have $\mathrm{X}_i\mathrm{Y}_i - \mathrm{Y}_i\mathrm{X}_i = \mathrm{Z}^2$, it follows:

$$3)\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \begin{vmatrix} A_{2i-1,2j-1} & A_{2i-1,2j} \\ A_{2i,2j-1} & A_{2i,2j} \end{vmatrix} = \lambda^2$$

With similar calculations, from the equation:

$$\sigma(\mathrm{X}_j\mathrm{Y}_k - \mathrm{Y}_k\mathrm{X}_j) = 0 = \sigma(\mathrm{X}_j)\sigma(\mathrm{Y}_k) - \sigma(\mathrm{Y}_k)\sigma(\mathrm{X}_j), \text{ for } k \neq 0.$$

we get the equation:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \begin{vmatrix} A_{2i-1,2j-1} & A_{2i-1,2k} \\ A_{2i,2j-1} & A_{2i,2k} \end{vmatrix} (\mathrm{X}_i\mathrm{Y}_i - \mathrm{Y}_i\mathrm{X}_i) = 0.$$

which implies:

$$4)\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \begin{vmatrix} A_{2i-1,2j-1} & A_{2i-1,2k} \\ A_{2i,2j-1} & A_{2i,2k} \end{vmatrix} = 0\ , \text{ for } i \neq k.$$

We have proved the following:

Theorem 1. *Let B_n be the homogenized Weyl algebra in n+1 generators. Then an automorphism σ of $M = \overset{n}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} KX_i \oplus (\overset{n}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} KY_i) \oplus KZ$, with matrix in block form:$\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ \rho & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$, where ρ is the vector: $\rho = (\mu_1, \mu_2, ...\mu_n, \nu_1, \nu_2...\nu_n)$ and $\lambda \neq 0$, extends to an automorphism of B_n if and only if A satisfies the following equations:*

1) $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \begin{vmatrix} A_{2i-1,2j-1} & A_{2i-1,2k-1} \\ A_{2i,2j-1} & A_{2i,2k-1} \end{vmatrix} = 0$*, for all j, k with $j < k$,*

2) $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \begin{vmatrix} A_{2i-1,2j} & A_{2i-1,2k} \\ A_{2i,2j} & A_{2i,2k} \end{vmatrix} = 0$*, for all j, k with $j < k$.*

3) $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \begin{vmatrix} A_{2i-1,2j-1} & A_{2i-1,2j} \\ A_{2i,2j-1} & A_{2i,2j} \end{vmatrix} = \lambda^2$*,4)* $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \begin{vmatrix} A_{2i-1,2j-1} & A_{2i-1,2k} \\ A_{2i,2j-1} & A_{2i,2k} \end{vmatrix} = 0$ *, for $i \neq k$.*

A particular case is obtained when $\rho = 0$, $\lambda = 1$ and the matrix A satisfies $\begin{vmatrix} A_{2i-1,2i-1} & A_{2i-1,2i} \\ A_{2i,2i-1} & A_{2i,2i} \end{vmatrix} = 1$ for all i and all remaining 2×2 minors of A are zero. This is the product of n matrices of seize 2×2 and determinant one.

Corollary 1. *Let $G_1, G_2, ...G_n$ be finite subgroups of $Sl(2, K)$,then the product $G = G_1 \times G_2 \times ... \times G_n$ acts as automorphism group of the homogenized algebra in $n+1$ variables, B_n.*

2. Structure of the homogenized Weyl algebra B_n and its skew group algebra $B_n * G$.

In this section we study the structure of the homogenized Weyl algebras B_n. We will see that they can be obtained from the homogenized algebras B_i, B_j with $i+j=n$, as follows: $B_n = B_i \otimes_K B_j/(Z\otimes 1 - 1\otimes Z)B_i \otimes_K B_j$. This result is very similar to the situation of the Weyl algebras for which it is well known [Co] that for $i+j=n$, there is an isomorphism of K-algebras: $A_n = A_i \otimes_K A_j$ or the polynomial algebras $C_i = K[X_1, X_2, ...X_i]$, $C_j = K[X_{i+1}, X_{i+2}, ...X_n]$ for which the isomorphism $C_n \cong C_i \otimes C_{n-i}$ is well known.

Theorem 2. *For integers n, $m>0$ let B_n, B_m and B_{n+m} be the corresponding homogenized algebras. Then there exists an isomorphism of graded K-algebras:*
$B_{n+m} = B_n \otimes_K B_m/(Z\otimes 1 - 1\otimes Z)B_n \otimes_K B_m$.

Proof. The algebras B_n, B_m and B_{n+m} can be written as:
$B_n = K < X_1, X_2, ...X_n, Y_1, Y_2, ...Y_n, Z > /\{[X_i, \delta_j] - \partial_{ij}Z^2$, $[X_i, X_j]$, $[Y_i, Y_j]$, $[X_i, Z‘]$, $[Y_i, Z‘]\}$
$B_m = K < X_{n+1}, X_{n+2}, ...X_{n+m}, Y_{n+1}, Y_{n+2}, ...Y_{n+m}, Z > /\{[X_i, \delta_j] - \partial_{ij}Z^2$, $[X_i, X_j]$, $[Y_i, Y_j]$, $[X_i, Z‘]$, $[Y_i, Z‘]\}$
$B_{n+m} = K < X_1, X_2, ...X_{n+m}, Y_1, Y_2, ...Y_{n+m}, Z > /\{[X_i, \delta_j] - \partial_{ij}Z^2$, $[X_i, X_j]$, $[Y_i, Y_j]$, $[X_i, Z‘]$, $[Y_i, Z‘]\}$.

Then there are natural inclusions: $\varphi_1 : B_n \to B_{n+m}$ and $\varphi_2 : B_m \to B_{n+m}$ given by: $\varphi_i(X_j) = X_j$, $\varphi_i(Y_j) = Y_j$, $\varphi_i(Z) = Z$ and $i = 1, 2$.

Let $j_1 : B_n \to B_{n+m}$ and $j_2 : B_m \to B_{n+m}$, be the inclusions $j_1(b_1) = b_1 \otimes 1$, and $j_2(b_2) = 1 \otimes b_2$. Since multiplication is bilinear we have a vector space map: $\varphi : B_n \otimes_K B_m \to B_{n+m}$ given by $\varphi(b_1 \otimes b_2) = \varphi_1(b_1)\varphi_2(b_2)$, such that the diagram:

$$*)\qquad \begin{tikzcd} B_n \arrow[r, "j_1"] \arrow[dr, "\varphi_1"'] & B_n \otimes_K B_m \arrow[d, "\varphi"] & B_m \arrow[l, "j_2"'] \arrow[dl, "\varphi_2"] \\ & B_{n+m} & \end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

Since $\varphi_1(b_1)\varphi_2(b_2) = \varphi_2(b_2)\varphi_1(b_1)$ with $b_1 \in B_n$, $b_2 \in B_m$, φ is an algebra homomorphism. It is clear φ is surjective and $\varphi(Z\otimes 1 - 1\otimes Z) = 0$, hence $(Z\otimes 1 - 1\otimes Z)B_n \otimes_K B_m$ is contained in the kernel of φ. We will prove that they are actually equal.

Let b be an element of degree t in the kernel of φ.

The element b has the following form:

$$\sum_{\alpha+\beta+k+,\ell+\alpha'+\beta'=t} C_{\alpha,\beta,k,}X^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}Z^{k} \otimes B_{\alpha',\beta',\ell,}X_m^{\alpha'}Y_m^{\beta'}Z^{\ell}, \text{ with } X^{\alpha} = X_1^{\alpha_1}X_2^{\alpha_2}...X_n^{\alpha_n},$$

$Y^{\beta} = Y_1^{\beta_1}Y_2^{\beta_2}...Y_n^{\beta_n}$ and $X_m^{\alpha'} = X_{n+1}^{\alpha'_1}X_{n+2}^{\alpha'_2}...X_{n+m}^{\alpha'_m}$, $Y_m^{\beta'} = Y_{n+1}^{\beta'_1}Y_{n+2}^{\beta'_2}...Y_{n+m}^{\beta'_m}$.

Assume $k>0$.

Then $X^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}Z^{k} \otimes X_m^{\alpha'}Y_m^{\beta'}Z^{\ell} = X^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}Z^{k-1} \otimes X_m^{\alpha'}Y_m^{\beta'}Z^{\ell}(Z\otimes 1) = X^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}Z^{k-1} \otimes X_m^{\alpha'}Y_m^{\beta'}Z^{\ell}(Z\otimes 1 - 1\otimes Z) + X^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}Z^{k-1} \otimes X_m^{\alpha'}Y_m^{\beta'}Z^{\ell+1}$.

By induction,

$C_{\alpha,\beta,k,}X^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}Z^{k} \otimes B_{\alpha',\beta',\ell,}X_m^{\alpha'}Y_m^{\beta'}Z^{\ell} = g(X,Y,Z)(Z\otimes 1 - 1\otimes Z) + C_{\alpha,\beta,k,}X^{\alpha}Y^{\beta} \otimes B_{\alpha',\beta',\ell,}X_m^{\alpha'}Y_m^{\beta'}Z^{k+\ell}$ and $g(X,Y,Z)$ an expression in X, Y, Z..

Then

$$\sum_{\alpha+\beta+k+,\ell+\alpha'+\beta'=t} C_{\alpha,\beta,k,}X^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}Z^{k}\otimes B_{\alpha',\beta',\ell,}X_m^{\alpha'}Y_m^{\beta'}Z^{\ell}=G(X,Y,Z)\ (Z\otimes 1-1\otimes Z)+\sum_r\Big(\sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+\alpha'+\beta'=t-r\\ r=k+\ell}} C_{\alpha,\beta,k}B_{\alpha',\beta',\ell}X^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}Z^{k}\otimes X_m^{\alpha'}Y_m^{\beta'}\Big)(1\otimes Z^r).$$

Applying φ to the above expression we get:

$$\varphi(b)=0=\sum_r\Big(\sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+\alpha'+\beta'=t-r\\ r=k+\ell}} C_{\alpha,\beta,k}B_{\alpha',\beta',\ell}X_1^{\alpha_1}X_2^{\alpha_2}...X_n^{\alpha_n}Y_1^{\beta_1}Y_2^{\beta_2}...Y_n^{\beta_n}$$

$$X_{n+1}^{\alpha'_1}X_{n+2}^{\alpha'_2}...X_{n+m}^{\alpha'_m}Y_{n+1}^{\beta'_1}Y_{n+2}^{\beta'_2}...Y_{n+m}^{\beta'_m}\Big)Z^r.$$

Using the fact $X_{n+j}Y_i=Y_iX_{n+j}$ for $1\leqslant i\leqslant m$ we obtain:

$$\sum_r\Big(\sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+\alpha'+\beta'=t-r\\ r=k+\ell}} C_{\alpha,\beta,k}B_{\alpha',\beta',\ell}X_1^{\alpha_1}X_2^{\alpha_2}...X_n^{\alpha_n}X_{n+1}^{\alpha'_1}X_{n+2}^{\alpha'_2}...X_{n+m}^{\alpha'_m}$$

$$Y_1^{\beta_1}Y_2^{\beta_2}...Y_n^{\beta_n}Y_{n+1}^{\beta'_1}Y_{n+2}^{\beta'_2}...Y_{n+m}^{\beta'_m}\Big)Z^r=0.$$

It follows:

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+\alpha'+\beta'=t-r\\ r=k+\ell}} C_{\alpha,\beta,k}B_{\alpha',\beta',\ell}X^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}\otimes X_m^{\alpha'}Y_m^{\beta'}=0.$$

Therefore:

$$\sum_{\alpha+\beta+k+,\ell+\alpha'+\beta'=t} C_{\alpha,\beta,k,}X^{\alpha}Y^{\beta}Z^{k}\otimes B_{\alpha',\beta',\ell,}X_m^{\alpha'}Y_m^{\beta'}Z^{\ell}=G(X,Y,Z)\ (Z\otimes 1-1\otimes Z)$$

is an element of $(Z\otimes 1-1\otimes Z)B_n\otimes_K B_m$, as claimed. □

Let Λ, Γ be K-algebras and G, H finite group of automorphisms of Λ, Γ, respectively. Assume the characteristic of K does not divide neither the order of G nor the order of H. By the universal property of the coproduct, given $\sigma\in G$, $\tau\in H$, there is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Lambda \arrow[r, "j_1"] \arrow[d, "\sigma"'] & \Lambda\otimes_K\Gamma \arrow[d, "{(\sigma,\tau)}"] & \Gamma \arrow[l, "j_2"'] \arrow[d, "\tau"] \\
\Lambda \arrow[r, "j_1"] & \Lambda\otimes_K\Gamma & \Gamma \arrow[l, "j_2"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

with $(\sigma,\tau)(\lambda\otimes\gamma)=\sigma(\lambda)\otimes\tau(\gamma)$, the homomorphism (σ,τ) is an automorphism, such that $(\sigma,\tau)=1$ implies $\sigma=1$ and $\tau=1$.Hence $G\times H$ embeds faithfully in the automorphism group of $\Lambda\otimes_K\Gamma$.

The following proposition is well known: (see for example $[CR]$).

Proposition 2. *Let K be a field and G, H, finite groups. Then there is a natural isomorphism of finite dimensional algebras: $K(G\times H)\cong KG\otimes_K K(H)$.*

Proof. We define a map $\varphi: K(G\times H)\to KG\otimes_K K(H)$ as $\varphi(g,h)=g\otimes h$ and extend it linearly. The map sends basis to basis, hence is a vector space isomorphism. It is easy to see it is also a ring isomorphism. □

We use this result to prove the following:

Theorem 3. *Let Λ, Γ be graded K-algebras and G, H finite group of (grade preserving) automorphisms of Λ, Γ, respectively. Assume the characteristic of K does not divide neither the order of G nor the order of H. Denote by $\Lambda * G$ and $\Gamma * H$ the corresponding skew group algebras. Then there is a natural isomorphism of (graded) K-algebras: $\Lambda\otimes_K\Gamma*(G\times H)\cong\Lambda*G\otimes_K\Gamma*H$.*

Proof. We have vector space isomorphisms: $\Lambda\otimes_K\Gamma*(G\times H)\cong\Lambda\otimes_K\Gamma\otimes_K K(G\times H)\cong\Lambda\otimes_K\Gamma\otimes_K KG\otimes_K K(H)\cong\Lambda\otimes_K KG\otimes_K\Gamma\otimes_K K(H)\cong\Lambda*G\otimes_K\Gamma*H$.

We look to the action of $G\times H$ on $\Lambda\otimes_K\Gamma$.

Let (σ,τ) be an element of $G\times H$ and $\lambda\otimes\gamma\in\Lambda\otimes_K\Gamma$.Then $(\sigma,\tau)\lambda\otimes\gamma=\lambda^\sigma\sigma\otimes\gamma^\tau\tau=\lambda^\sigma\otimes\gamma^\tau(\sigma,\tau)=\lambda^\sigma\otimes\gamma^\tau\otimes\sigma\otimes\tau=\lambda^\sigma\otimes\sigma\otimes\gamma^\tau\otimes\tau=(\sigma\otimes\tau)(\lambda\otimes\gamma)$.

It follows $\Lambda\otimes_K\Gamma*(G\times H)\cong\Lambda*G\otimes_K\Gamma*H$ as (graded) algebras. □

Corollary 2. *Let K- be a field of zero characteristic, B_n, B_m homogenized Weyl algebras and G, H, finite groups of grade preserving automorphisms of B_n and B_m,respectively. Assume for all $\sigma\in G$ and $\tau\in H$, $\sigma(Z)=Z$ and $\tau(Z)=Z$. Then $G\times H$ acts on B_{n+m} and there is an isomorphism of graded algebras: $B_{n+m}*(G\times H)\cong B_n*G\otimes_K B_m*H/(Z\otimes 1-1\otimes Z)B_n*G\otimes_K B_m*H$.*

If we denote by $B_{n+m}^{G\times H}$, B_n^G, B_m^H the rings of invariants, then we have an isomorphism of algebras: $B_{n+m}^{G\times H}\cong B_n^G\otimes_K B_m^H$ $/Z\otimes 1$- $1\otimes Z)B_n^G\otimes_K B_m^H$.

Proof. Given $\sigma\in G$, $\tau\in H$, we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B_n \arrow[r, "j_1"] \arrow[d, "\sigma"'] & B_n\otimes_K B_m \arrow[d, "\sigma\otimes\tau"'] & B_m \arrow[l, "j_2"'] \arrow[d, "\tau"] \\
B_n \arrow[r, "j_1"] \arrow[dr, "\varphi_1"'] & B_n\otimes_K B_m \arrow[d, "\varphi"] & B_m \arrow[l, "j_2"'] \arrow[dl, "\varphi_2"] \\
 & B_{n+m} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}b_i\otimes b_i'$ be an element of the kernel of $\varphi\sigma\otimes\tau$. Then $\varphi(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}\sigma b_i\otimes\tau b_i')=0$.

By that above description of $Ker\varphi$, $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}\sigma b_i\otimes\tau b_i'=g(X,Y,Z)(Z\otimes 1\text{-}1\otimes Z)$.

Therefore:

$\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}b_i\otimes b_i'$ $=((\sigma^{-1},\tau^{-1})(g(X,Y,Z))(\sigma^{-1}Z\otimes 1\text{-}1\otimes\tau^{-1}Z)$=$g'(X,Y,Z)(Z\otimes 1\text{-}1\otimes Z)$.

It follows $Ker\varphi\sigma\otimes\tau$=$(Z\otimes 1\text{-}1\otimes Z)B_n\otimes_K B_m$ and the map $\varphi\sigma\otimes\tau$ factors through B_{n+m},denote by $\overline{\sigma\otimes\tau}$ the induced map, which is clearly an automorphism of B_{n+m} such that the diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B_n \arrow[r, "\varphi_1"] \arrow[d, "\sigma"'] & B_{n+m} \arrow[d, "\overline{\sigma\otimes\tau}"'] & B_m \arrow[l, "\varphi_2"'] \arrow[d, "\tau"] \\
B_n \arrow[r, "\varphi_1"] & B_{n+m} & B_m \arrow[l, "\varphi_2"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

The above diagram *) induces a commutative diagram of graded algebras and homomorphisms:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B_n*G \arrow[r, "\overline{j_1}"] \arrow[dr, "\overline{\varphi_1}"'] & B_n\otimes_K B_m(G\times H) \arrow[d, "\overline{\varphi}"] & B_m*H \arrow[l, "\overline{j_2}"'] \arrow[dl, "\overline{\varphi_2}"] \\
 & B_{n+m}*(G\times H) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

There is an exact sequence:

$0\rightarrow(Z\otimes 1\text{-}\ 1\otimes Z)B_n\otimes_K B_m(G\times H)\rightarrow B_n\otimes_K B_m(G\times H)\rightarrow B_{n+m}*(G\times H)\rightarrow 0$

From the isomorphism $(B_n\otimes_K B_m)*(G\times H)\cong B_n*G\otimes_K B_m*H$, it follows $B_{n+m}*(G\times H)\cong B_n*G\otimes_K B_m*H/(Z\otimes 1\text{-}1\otimes Z)B_n*G\otimes_K B_m*H$.

Now let $e=1/\left|G\right|\sum\limits_{g\in G}g$, and $f=1/\left|H\right|\sum\limits_{h\in H}h$ be elements of KG and KH, respectively. The elements e and f are idempotents, it is well known and easy to prove that the rings B_n^G and B_m^H are isomorphic $e(B_n*G)e$ and $f(B_m*H)f$, respectively.

Under the isomorphism $K(G\times H)\to KG\otimes_K KH$ the idempotent $(e,f)=1/\left|G\times H\right|\sum\limits_{(g,h)\in G\times H}(g,h)$ correspond to $e\otimes f$.

Then from the isomorphism: $(B_n\otimes_K B_m)*(G\times H)\cong B_n*G\otimes_K B_m*H$ we get an isomorphism:

$(e,f)(B_n\otimes_K B_m)*(G\times H)(e,f)\cong e\otimes f(B_n*G\otimes_K B_m*H)e\otimes f\cong e(B_n*G)e\otimes_K f(B_m*H)f$.

Therefore $(B_n\otimes_K B_m)^{G\times H}\cong B_n^G\otimes_K B_m^H$.

It follows $(e,f)(B_{n+m})*(G\times H)(e,f)\cong B_{n+m}^{G\times H}\cong(e\otimes f)(B_n*G\otimes_K B_m*H)(e\otimes f)/(Z\otimes 1-1\otimes Z)\cong e(B_n*G)e\otimes_K f(B_m*H)f/(Z\otimes 1-1\otimes Z)$.

From this we have the isomorphism of algebras:

$B_{n+m}^{G\times H}\cong B_n^G\otimes_K B_m^H\ /(Z\otimes 1\text{-}\ 1\otimes Z)B_n^G\otimes_K B_m^H$. $\square$

For Weyl algebras we have the following analogous of the previous theorem.

Theorem 4. *Given finite groups of automorphisms G, H of the Weyl algebras A_n and A_m, $G\times H$ acts as a group of automorphisms of A_{n+m} and there is an isomorphism of K-algebras, $A_{n+m}^{G\times H}\cong A_n^G\otimes_K A_m^H$, where $A_{n+m}^{G\times H}$, A_n^G, A_m^H.*

3. The Weyl algebras B_1, and A_1 and their skew group algebras B_1*G and A_1*G, with G a finite subgroup of $Sl(2,C)$.

In this section we describe the basic algebras Morita equivalent to B_1*G and A_1*G by quivers and relations. To achieve this we will make use of the following result, [AR], [L], [C-B]:

Theorem 5. *For any subgroup G of $Sl(2,C)$ the skew group algebra* $\mathrm{C}[X,Y]*G$ *is Morita equivalent to the preprojective algebra of an Euclidean diagram.*

We will end the section sketching the situation for a product $G=G_1\times G_2\times ...G_n$ of finite subgroups G_i of $Sl(2,C)$ acting on B_n and on A_n.

We start by recalling the situation of $C[X,Y]*G$, following the approach of [GuM] and take the opportunity to correct some inaccuracies.

Recall the construction of a McKay quiver of a finite subgroup G of the linear group $Gl(n,C)$. [Mc]

Let S_1, $S_2,...S_n$ be the non isomorphic irreducible representations of G and M the representation corresponding to the inclusion of G in $Gl(n,C)$. Tensoring M with some S_j we obtain a decomposition in irreducible representations: $M\otimes_K S_j\cong\underset{i}{\oplus}a_{ij}S_i$.

The McKay quiver of G has vertices v_1, v_2,... v_n, with each vertex v_i corresponding to an irreducible representation S_i and we put a_{ij} arrows from v_i to v_j.

For the proof we will make use of the following well known result from ordinary group representations:

Theorem 6. [*Mu*] *Let G be a finite group and L a complex irreducible representation. Then the dimension of L as C-vector space divides the order of G.*

We reproduce here the proof given in [Ste] of the following:

Theorem 7. *Let M be a C-vector space of dimension 2 and G a finite subgroup of the special linear group $Sl(2,M)$.Then the McKay quiver has no loops.*

Proof. For the proof of the theorem we have two cases:

1) M is an irreducible representation.

According to the previous theorem, 2 divides the order of G and by Sylow theorems, there exists an element $g \in G$ of order 2. Since $g \in sl(2, M)$, by a change of basis $PgP^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ \mu & \lambda' \end{bmatrix}$, with $\lambda\lambda' = 1$, and $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ \mu & \lambda' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ \mu & \lambda' \end{bmatrix} =$ $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda^2 & 0 \\ \lambda\mu + \lambda'\mu & \lambda'^2 \end{bmatrix} = 1$

Therefore: $\lambda^2 = 1 = (\lambda')^2$ and either $\lambda = \lambda' = 1$ or $\lambda = \lambda? = -1$, in any case $\mu = 0$ and g is in the center of G.

Let S be another irreducible representation of G and $\varphi : CG \to S$ an epimorphism.

Let´s suppose $g - 1$ is not in the kernel of φ. Then there exists $s' \in S$ with $(g-1)s' \neq 0$. Since S is simple, for any $s \in S$, $s \neq 0$, there exists $\rho \in CG$ such that $\rho(g - 1)s' = s$ and $\rho(g - 1) = (g - 1)\rho$. It follows $(g + 1)s = (g + 1)(g - 1)\rho s' = (g^2 - 1)\rho s' = 0$ and $gs = -s$.

This means that g acts on S either as the identity or as -1.

If g acts as -1 on M, and as 1 on S, then g acts as -1 on $M \otimes_K S$ and S can not appear as a summand of $M \otimes_K S$ and if g acts as -1 on M and as -1 on S, then it acts as 1 on $M \otimes_K S$ and again S can not be a summand of $M \otimes_K S$.

We have proved that in this case the McKay quiver has no loops.

2) The representation M is reducible, this is: $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ and $\dim_C M_1 = \dim_C M_2 = 1$. Let´s say that M_1 is generated by $m_1$and M_2 is generated by m_2. If the order of G is n, then for any $g \in G$, $g(m_1) = \lambda_1 m_1$ and $g(m_2) = \lambda_2 m_2$ with $\lambda_1$and λ_2 n-th roots of unity.

The element g has form: $g = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}$, with λ_1. λ_2=1.

There exists an injective homomorphism from G to the group of nth roots of unity, $\sqrt[n]{1}$ given by $g \to \lambda_1$, hence G is cyclic and all irreducible representations have dimension one.

Let $Cs = S$ be an irreducible representation $G =< g >$ and $gs = ts$.

Suppose $M \otimes_K S = S_1 \oplus S_2$. Then $M_1 \otimes S = S_1$ and $M_2 \otimes S = S_2$.

Assume $S = S_1$, then $m_1 \otimes s = s'$, $s' \in S$ and $s' = rs$. It follows $g(m_1 \otimes s) = g(m_1) \otimes g(s) = \lambda_1 m_1 \otimes ts = g(rs) = rts = t\lambda_1(m_1 \otimes s) = t\lambda_1 rs$.Therefore $\lambda_1 = 1$ and g is the identity.

We have proved the McKay quiver has no loops. □

We sketch here the arguments used in [GuM] to prove the theorem.

Let G be a finite subgroup of $Sl(2, C)$, which extends to a group of grade preserving automorphisms of $C[X, Y]$. The algebra $C[X, Y]$ is Koszul with Yoneda algebra the exterior algebra $\Lambda = C < X, Y > /\{X^2, Y^2, XY + YX\}$, it was proved in [MV1] that G acts in a natural way as an automorphism group of the Yoneda algebra, hence G is a sub group of the automorphisms group of Λ.

It was also proved in [MV1], that the skew group algebra $C[X, Y] * G$ is Koszul with Yoneda algebra $\Lambda * G$.

The algebra Λ is selfinjective of radical cube zero. It follows from [RR], $\Lambda * G$ is selfinjective of radical cube zero. Hence $C[X, Y] * G$ is Artin-Schelter regular of global dimension two [Sm],[MV4]. It is also clear that $C[X, Y] * G$ is noetherian.

Consider now an arbitrary Koszul C-algebra Λ and G a finite group of automorphisms of Λ. Given a complete set of primitive orthogonal idempotents e_1, e_2,... e_n of CG, they are also a complete set of orthogonal idempotents of $\Lambda * G$ and taking $e = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} e_i$, the algebra $e(\Lambda * G)e$ is basic and Morita equivalent to $\Lambda * G$.

There is a natural isomorphism:

$$Ext^k_{\Lambda*G}(CGe, CGe) \cong eExt^k_{\Lambda*G}(CG, CG)e$$

By the Morita theorems applied to graded algebras [MV4], the functor $Hom_{\Lambda*G}(\Lambda * Ge, -)$ induces an equivalence of categories $Gr_{\Lambda*G} \cong Gr_{e\Lambda*Ge}$.

It follows that for each $k \geq 0$, there is an isomorphism:

$$Ext^k_{\Lambda*G}(CGe, CGe) \cong Ext^k_{e\Lambda*Ge}(eCGe, eCGe).$$

Using this two isomorphisms and adding up, we get an isomorphism of graded K-algebras.

$$e(\underset{k\geq 0}{\oplus} Ext^k_{\Lambda*G}(CG, CG))e \cong \underset{k\geq 0}{\oplus} Ext^k_{e\Lambda*Ge}(eCGe, eCGe)$$

In particular when Λ is the exterior algebra in two generators we have:

$$C[X,Y] * G \cong \underset{k\geq 0}{\oplus} Ext^k_{\Lambda*G}(CG, CG) \text{ and}$$
$$e(C[X,Y] * G)e \cong \underset{k\geq 0}{\oplus} Ext^k_{e\Lambda*Ge}(eCGe, eCGe).$$

The algebra $e(\Lambda * G)e$ is basic Koszul selfinjective of radical cube zero with Yoneda algebra the basic noetherian algebra $e(C[X,Y] * G)e$.

It follows from [GMT] that the separated quiver of $e(\Lambda * G)e$ is an Euclidean diagram Q.

It is easy to check that the quiver of $e(C[X,Y] * G)e$ is the McKay quiver of G, by Theorem ?, this quiver does not have loops. By the properties of Koszul algebras $e(C[X,Y] * G)e$ and $e(\Lambda * G)e$ have the same quiver $\hat{Q}$.

We know by $[GMT]$ $\hat{Q}$ is a translation quiver with translation τ the Nakayama permutation, but $soc(\Lambda * G)e_i = J^2 * Ge_i$, and since Λ has simple socle $J^2 = K$. Then $soc(\Lambda * G)e_i = KGe_i = top(\Lambda * G)e_i$ and τ is the identity.

The quiver $\hat{Q}$ is the complete quiver of an Euclidean diagram, this means $\hat{Q}_0 = Q_0$ and $\hat{Q}_1 = Q_i \cup Q_1^{op}$, with Q an Euclidean diagram. For each arrow $\alpha : i \to j$ in $\hat{Q}$ we have an arrow $\alpha^{-1} : j \to i$ in $\hat{Q}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & j \arrow[dr, "\alpha^{-1}"] & \\
i \arrow[ur, "\alpha"] \arrow[dr, "\beta"'] & & i \\
 & k \arrow[ur, "\beta^{-1}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $(\Lambda * G)e_i$ has simple socle, for any pair of arrows $\alpha : i \to, \beta : i \to k$, there is a non zero $c \in K$, such that $\alpha^{-1}\alpha = c\beta^{-1}\beta$. If we assume Q is a tree then we can change the maps $b : (\Lambda * G)e_i \to (\Lambda * G)e_j$ corresponding to the arrow β by cb and we get an arrow which we denote again by β such that $\alpha^{-1}\alpha = \beta^{-1}\beta$ and we obtain an isomorphism $e(\Lambda * G)e \cong K\hat{Q}/I$, where I is the ideal generated by relations: $\alpha^{-1}\alpha - \beta^{-1}\beta$, $\alpha\alpha^{-1} - \beta\beta^{-1}$ and $\alpha\delta$ if $\delta \neq \alpha^{-1}$, $\delta\alpha$ if $\delta \neq \alpha^{-1}$.

From this is clear that $e(C[X,Y]{*}G)e \cong K\hat{Q}/I^{\perp}$, where $I^{\perp}$ is the ideal generated by mesh relations:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & j_1 \arrow[dr, "\alpha_1^{-1}"] & \\
i \arrow[ur, "\alpha_1"] \arrow[r] \arrow[dr, "\alpha_k"'] & j_2 \arrow[r] & i \\
 & j_k \arrow[ur, "\alpha_k^{-1}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

, this is $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i^{-1}\alpha_i \in I^{\perp}$.

We have proved $e(C[X,Y]*G)e$ is isomorphic to the preprojective algebra.

The case $\widetilde{A}_n$is the skew group algebra corresponding to the cyclic group Z_n and has to be considered separately, since for $\widetilde{A}_n$it is not clear that we could choose the arrows in the algebra $e(\Lambda * G)e$ in such a way that for any pair of arrows α, β with the same origin, $\alpha^{-1}\alpha = \beta^{-1}\beta$.

We also need to prove that all preprojective algebras appear in this way.

A full description of the quiver of a preprojective algebra and its relations with the McKay graph for finite subgroups of $Sl(2,C)$ has appeared in several papers by authors like: Crawley-Boevey or Lenzing [L], [C-B].

We now consider finite groups of automorphisms G of the homogenized Weyl algebra B_n such that for all $\sigma \in G$, $\sigma(Z) = Z$ and for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\sigma(X_i)$, $\sigma(Y_i) \in \overset{n}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} CX_i \oplus \overset{n}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} CY_i$.

Given a Koszul algebra Λ with Yoneda algebra Γ and a finite group of automorphisms G of Λ, we recall from [MV1] , how the action transfers to a group of automorphisms of Γ:

Let M be a Λ-module and $\sigma \in G$, we define M^{σ} as the module with $M^{\sigma} = M$ as vector space and multiplication given as follows: for $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $m \in M^{\sigma}$we define $\lambda * m = \lambda^{\sigma} m$.

In case M is a G-module, there is an isomorphism: $\varphi_{\sigma} : M \rightarrow M^{\sigma}$given by $\varphi_{\sigma}(m) = \sigma m$.Then $\varphi_{\sigma}(\lambda m) = \sigma(\lambda m) = \lambda^{\sigma}\sigma m = \lambda * \varphi_{\sigma}(m)$.

Now given an extension $\delta \in Ext_{\Lambda}^{k}(S_i, S_j)$, with S_i, S_j graded simple modules:

$$\delta : 0 \rightarrow S_j \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow ...E_k \rightarrow S_i \rightarrow 0$$

we define

$$\sigma(\delta) : 0 \rightarrow S_j^{\sigma} \rightarrow E_1^{\sigma} \rightarrow E_2^{\sigma} \rightarrow ...E_k^{\sigma} \rightarrow S_i^{\sigma} \rightarrow 0.$$

It is clear that the modules S_j^{σ},$\mathrm{S}_i^{\sigma}$are again graded simple and $\sigma(\delta) \in \mathrm{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{k}(\mathrm{S}_i^{\sigma}, \mathrm{S}_j^{\sigma})$.

In this way we have an isomorphism of C-algebras: $\sigma : \underset{k \geq 0}{\oplus} Ext_{\Lambda}^{k}(\Lambda/J, \Lambda/J) \rightarrow \underset{k \geq 0}{\oplus} Ext_{\Lambda}^{k}(\Lambda/J, \Lambda/J)$ given by $\sigma(\delta_1, \delta_2, ...\delta_n) = (\sigma(\delta_1), \sigma(\delta_2), ...\sigma(\delta_n))$.

In the particular case $\Lambda = B_n$ and $\Gamma = B_n^{!}$ we want to see that for any group of automorphisms G of B_n such that any element $\sigma$of G has matrix form $\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, with A a $n-1 \times n-1$ matrix, the action of G on $\left(B_n^{!}\right)_1$ is such that every $\sigma \in G$ has matrix form $\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, with $*$ a $n-1 \times n-1$ matrix.

The element Z of $B_n^{!}$ corresponds to the extension:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & J \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & B_n \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & C \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "1"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & J/J^2 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & C \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "1"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & C\overline{Z} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & C[Z]/(Z^2) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & C \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "1"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & C \arrow[r] & C[Z]/(Z^2) \arrow[r] & C \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

The extension $\delta = Z : 0 \to C \overset{\overline{z}}{\to} CZ/(Z^2) \to C \to 0$ is such that both C and $CZ/(Z^2)$ are G-modules with trivial action. Then for any $\sigma \in G$, $(CZ/(Z^2))^\sigma = CZ/(Z^2)$ and $C^\sigma = C$. Moreover $\sigma(\delta) = \delta$. We have proved that in $B_n^!$, $\sigma(Z) = Z$ for all $\sigma \in G$.

We have an isomorphism: $Ext^1_{B_n}(C, C) \cong Hom_{B_n}(J, C) \cong Hom_{B_n}(J/J^2, C)$, where $J/J^2 \cong \overset{n}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} C\overline{X}_i \oplus \overset{n}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} C\overline{Y}_i \oplus C\overline{Z}$.

The element X_i of $B_n^!$ corresponds to the map: $f : J \to J/J^2 \to C\overline{X}_i \overset{\cong}{\to} K$ applying the homomorphism σwe get a map: $f^\sigma : J^\sigma \to (J/J^2)^\sigma \to (C\overline{X}_i)^\sigma \overset{\cong}{\to} C$.

But by hypothesis $(\overline{X}_i)^\sigma = \sum A_{ij}X_j + \sum B_{ij}Y_j$,hence in the expansion of f^σ does not appear Z.

For $Y_i \in B_n^!$ the situation is similar and the automorphism σ of $(B_n^!)_1$has form $\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} [*] & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, with $[*]$ a $n-1 \times n-1$ matrix.

We want to use the previous remarks to describe $B_1^! * G$ for G a finite subgroup of $Sl(2, C)$ with action on B_1 as above.

Let e_1, e_2,... e_k be a complete set of orthogonal idempotents of CG and $e = \sum_{i=1}^{k} e_i$, $B_1/ZB_1 \cong C_1$, the polynomial algebra in two variables.

We saw in previous section $eC_1 * Ge$ is the preprojective algebra and its Yoneda algebra is the selfinjective radical cube zero algebra $eC_1^! * Ge$, with $C_1^!$ the exterior algebra in two variables. and for any pair of arrows $\alpha : i \to j$, $\beta : i \to k$ in the quiver of $eC_1^! * Ge$ there exists arrows $\alpha^{-1} : j \to i$ and $\beta^{-1} : k \to i$ such that $\alpha^{-1}\alpha = \beta^{-1}\beta$ and $\delta\alpha = 0$ if δ is an arrow different from α^{-1}.

We also saw in Section 1, that there is an isomorphism of $C_n^!$-bimodules: $B_n^! \cong C_n^! \oplus ZC_n^!$.

If we assume G acts on B_n in such a way that for $\sigma \in G$, $\sigma(Z) = Z$ and $\sigma(X_i), \sigma(Y_i)$is contained in the vector space generated by the $X\'s$ and the $Y\'s$, then Gacts on the same way on $B_n^!$ and we have an isomorphism: $B_n^! * G \cong C_n^! * G \oplus ZC_n^! * G$ of $C_n^! * G$-bimodules.

which induces an isomorphism: $e(B_n^! * G)e \cong e(C_n^! * G)e \oplus Ze(C_n^! * G)e$ of $e(C_n^! * G)e$-bimodules. In particular, for $n = 1$, $e(B_1^! * G)e \cong e(C_1^! * G)e \oplus Ze(C_1^! * G)e$.

The Jacobson radical of $B_1^!$ is of the form: $J = J_{C_1^1} \oplus ZC_1^!$, with $J_{C_1^1}$ the Jacobson radical of $C_1^!$. It follows the Jacobson radical of $B_1^! * G$ is $J * G = J_{C_1^1} * G \oplus ZC_1^! * G$ and $(J * G)^2 = J^2_{C_1^1} * G + Z(J_{C_1^1} * G) +\ Z^2C_1^! * G$. But $Z^2 = -XY \in J^2_{C_1^1}$, hence $(J * G)^2 = J^2_{C_1^1} * G + Z(J_{C_1^1} * G)$.

Therefore: $J * G/J^2 * G \cong J/J^2 * G \cong J_{C_1^1}/J^2_{C_1^1} * G \oplus Z(C_1^! J_{C_1^1} * G) \cong J_{C_1^1}/J^2_{C_1^1} * G \oplus Z(CG)$. Then $e(\ J * G/J^2 * G)e \cong e/J_{C_1^1}/J^2_{C_1^1} * G)e \oplus Ze(CG)e$. Hence for $1 \le i \le n$, $e_i(\ J * G/J^2 * G)e_j \cong e_i(J_{C_1^1}/J^2_{C_1^1} * G)e_j \oplus Ze_i(CG)e_j$.

Since the preprojective algebra has no loops, $e_i(J_{C_1^1}/J^2_{C_1^1} * G)\ e_i = 0$. In the other hand, for $i \neq j$, $e_i(CG)e_j = 0$ and $e_i(\ J * G/J^2 * G)e_i = ZCe_i$.

We have proved that the quiver of $e(B_1^! * G)e$ has the same vertices and arrows as a preprojective algebra corresponding to an Euclidean diagram, and in addition a loop for each vertex.

We shall next find the relations.

Given an idempotent $e'_j \notin \{e_1, e_2, \ldots e_k\}$, there exists one of the idempotents e_j and an isomorphism $\varphi : CGe'_j \to CGe_j$, in particular $\varphi(e'_j) = e'_j\rho e_j$ and $\varphi^{-1}(e_j) = e_j\gamma e'_j$ with $\rho,\ \gamma \in CG$. Then $e_j = e_j\gamma e'_j\rho e_j$ and $e'_j = e'_j\rho e_j\gamma e'_j$.

Note that $CXY = J^2_{C_1^1}$, and G acts trivially on XY.

Let $g \in G$, $g(X) = aX + bY$, $g(Y) = cX + dY$.Hence, $g(XY) = g(X)g(Y) = (aX + bY)(cX + dY) = caX^2 + adXY + bcYX + bdY^2 = adX - bcY = XY$, since $det(g) = 1$.In consequence, $XYe_i = e_iXY = -e_iZ^2$.

It follows $XYe_i = e_iXYe_i = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} e_iXe'_jYe_i$.

Consider paths of the following form:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\alpha & & k \arrow[drr] & & \alpha^{-1} \\
i \arrow[urr] \arrow[drr] & & & & i \\
e_j\gamma e'_iYe_i & & j \arrow[urr] & & e_iXe'_j\rho e_j
\end{tikzcd}$$

Where $e_iXe'_jYe_i = e_iXe'_j\rho e_j\gamma e'_iYe_i$.

Since each indecomposable projective of $e(B_1^! * G)e$ has simple socle and $e(B_1^! * G)e$ is a radical cube zero algebra, there are constants $c_j \in C$ such that $c_j\alpha^{-1}\alpha = e_iXe'_jYe_i = e_iXe'_j\rho e_j\gamma e'_iYe_i$.

Therefore: $e_iXY = (\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} c_j)\alpha^{-1}\alpha = t_i\alpha^{-1}\alpha = -e_iZ^2$, with $t_i \in C - \{0\}$. This is $t_i\alpha^{-1}\alpha + Z_i^2 = 0$, with $Z_i = Ze_i$.

In a similar way we obtain relations $t_k\alpha\alpha^{-1} + Z_k^2 = 0$, $\alpha^{-1}\alpha$ and $\alpha\alpha^{-1}$are paths in $e(C_1^! * G)e$.

The element Z of $B_1^!$ anticommutes with X, Y and commutes with all the elements g of G. Then Z anticommutes with α and α^{-1}. This is $Z_k\alpha = -\alpha Z_i$.

It follows: $0 = t_k\alpha\alpha^{-1}\alpha + Z_k^2\alpha = t_i\alpha\alpha^{-1}\alpha + \alpha Z_i^2$, then $\alpha\alpha^{-1}\alpha + (Z_k^2/t_k)\alpha = \alpha\alpha^{-1}\alpha + \alpha Z_i^2/t_i$.

We have the following equalities: $\alpha Z_i^2/t_i = (\alpha Z)Z_i/t_i = -Z\alpha Z/t_i = (Z^2\alpha)/t_i = (Z_k^2/t_k)\alpha = (Z^2\alpha)/t_k$. Therefore $t_k = t_i$ for ani pair of vertices i, k connected by an arrow. By connectivity, $t_k = t_i$ for all k, i.

Assume every element of K has a square root and let $c = \sqrt[2]{t_k}$, we can make a change of variables, $z_k = Z/c$ to get relations: $\{z_k\alpha + \alpha z_i$, for every arrow α, and $\alpha\alpha^{-1} + z_i^2,\ \alpha^{-1}\alpha + z_k^2\} = R$

We have proved the algebra $e(B_1^! * G)e$ is isomorphic to the quiver algebra $K\hat{Q}$ / R , with $\hat{Q}_0 = Q_0$ and $\hat{Q}_1 = Q_i \cup Q_1^{op} \cup \{z_i \mid i \in Q_0\}$ and Q an Euclidean diagram.

Using Koszulity, $e(B_1 * G)e$ is isomorphic to the quiver algebra $K\hat{Q}$ / $R^{\perp}$, with $\hat{Q}_0 = Q_0$ and $\hat{Q}_1 = Q_i \cup Q_1^{op} \cup \{z_i \mid i \in Q_0\}$ and Q an Euclidean diagram and $R^{\perp}$ the orthogonal relations: $R^{\perp} = \{z_k\alpha - \alpha z_i,\ \sum \alpha_j\alpha_j^{-1} - z_i^2,\ \sum \alpha_j^{-1}\alpha_j - z_k^2\}$.

For the first Weyl algebra we have: $e(A_1 * G)e = e(B_1 * G)e/(z-1)e(B_1 * G)e$.

It follows $A_1{*}G$ is Morita equivalent to an algebra with quiver $\hat{Q}$, such that $\hat{Q}_0 = Q_0$ and $\hat{Q}_1 = Q_i \cup Q_1^{op}$ and Q an Euclidean diagram and relations $\{\sum \alpha_j \alpha_j^{-1} - e_k$, $\sum \alpha_j^{-1}\alpha_j - e_i\}$. This means, $e(A_1 * G)e$ is the deformed preprojective algebra.

We state the previous results as a theorem:

Theorem 8. *Let G be a finite subgroup of $Sl(2,K)$,with K a field of zero characteristic and containing the square root of each element. Let A_1 be the first Weyl algebra and B_1 its homogenized algebra. The group G acts as a grade preserving automorphism group of B_1, fixing Z and sending X and Y to a linear combination of X and Y. Then G acts in the same way on $B_1^!$, the Yoneda algebra of B_1, and G acts as a group of automorphisms of A_1. The skew group algebras $B_1 * G$, $B_1^! * G$ and $A_1 * G$ are Morita equivalent to the algebras defined by quivers and relations as follows:*

i) The skew group algebra $B_1^! * G$ is Morita equivalent to the quiver algebra $K\hat{Q}$ / R, with $\hat{Q}_0 = Q_0$ and $\hat{Q}_1 = Q_i \cup Q_1^{op} \cup \{z_i \mid v_i \in Q_0\}$ and Q an Euclidean diagram and $R = \{z_k\alpha + \alpha z_i$, for every arrow α, and $\alpha\alpha^{-1} + z_i^2$, $\alpha^{-1}\alpha + z_k^2\}$.

ii) The skew group algebra $B_1{*}G$ is Morita equivalent to the quiver algebra $K\hat{Q}$ / $R^{\perp}$, with $\hat{Q}_0 = Q_0$ and $\hat{Q}_1 = Q_i \cup Q_1^{op} \cup \{z_i \mid v_i \in Q_0\}$ and Q an Euclidean diagram and $R^{\perp}$ the orthogonal relations: $R^{\perp} = \{z_k\alpha - \alpha z_i$, $\sum \alpha_j\alpha_j^{-1} - z_i^2$, $\sum \alpha_j^{-1}\alpha_j - z_k^2\}$.

iii) The skew group algebra $A_1 * G$ is Morita equivalent to the algebra $K\ \hat{Q}/I$, such that $\hat{Q}_0 = Q_0$ and $\hat{Q}_1 = Q_i \cup Q_1^{op}$ and Q an Euclidean diagram and relations $I = \{\sum \alpha_j\alpha_j^{-1} - e_k$, $\sum \alpha_j^{-1}\alpha_j - e_i\}$.

We want to sketch the situation for groups of the form $G = G_1 \times G_2 \times \ldots \times G_n$, such that G_i is a finite subgroup of $Sl(2,C)$ acting as subgroups of the automorphism group of the homogenized Weyl algebra B_n.

We will recall first the description by quivers and relations of the tensor product of two quiver algebras.

Let K be a field of zero characteristic and let $\Lambda = KQ_1/I_1$ and $\Gamma = KQ_2/I_2$ be two graded quiver algebras, Q the quiver of $\Lambda \otimes_K \Gamma$ and I an admissible ideal of KQ such that $KQ/I \cong \Lambda \otimes_K \Gamma$. We next describe Q and I.

Let $\{\ e_1, e_2, \ldots\ e_n\}$ and $\{\ f_1, f_2, \ldots\ f_m\}$ be complete sets of orthogonal primitive idempotents of Λ and Γ, respectively. Then $\{e_i \otimes f_j \mid 1 \le i \le n,\ 1 \le j \le m\}$ is a complete set of primitive orthogonal idempotents of $\Lambda \otimes_K \Gamma$. This means that the quiver Q has vertices $Q_0 = (Q_1)_0 \times (Q_2)_0$, where the pair (v_i, w_j) corresponds to the idempotent $e_i \otimes f_j$ and v_i is the vertex corresponding to e_i and w_j the vertex corresponding to f_j.

The arrows $\alpha_k : v_i \to v_j$ in $(Q_1)_1$ correspond to elements $a_k \in e_j r_\Delta e_i - e_j r_\Delta^2 e_i$ and the arrows $\beta_k : w_i \to w_j$ of $(Q_2)_1$ correspond to elements $b_k \in f_j r_\Gamma f_i - f_j r_\Gamma^2 f_i$. We have in Q arrows $Q_1 = (Q_1)_1 \times (Q_2)_0 \cup (Q_1)_0 \cup (Q_2)_1$. This is to an arrow $\alpha : v_i \to v_j$ in $(Q_1)_1$ and a vertex w_k of $(Q_2)_0$ corresponds an arrow $(\alpha,\ w_k) : (v_i, w_k) \to (v_j, w_k)$ of Q_1 associated to $a \otimes f_k \in e_i \otimes f_k(r_{\Lambda\otimes_K\Gamma})e_j \otimes f_k - e_i \otimes f_k(r^2_{\Lambda\otimes_K\Gamma})e_j \otimes f_k$. Similarly, to an arrow $\beta : w_i \to w_j$ of $(Q_2)_1$ and a vertex v_k of $(Q_1)_0$ corresponds the arrow $(v_k, \beta) : (v_k, w_i) \to (v_k, w_j)$ of Q_1 associated to $e_k \otimes b \in e_k \otimes f_i(r_{\Lambda\otimes_K\Gamma})e_k \otimes f_j - e_k \otimes f_i(r^2_{\Lambda\otimes_K\Gamma})e_k \otimes f_j$.

Given paths $\alpha_1\alpha_2...\alpha_r : v_i \to v_j$ and $\beta_1\beta_2...\beta_s : w_k \to w_\ell$ in Q_1 and Q_2, respectively, there are paths $(\alpha_1\alpha_2...\alpha_r, w)$: $(v_i, w) \to (v_j, w)$ and $(v, \beta_1\beta_2...\beta_s) : (v, w_k) \to (v, w_\ell)$. Now it is clear that given readable relations $\rho = \sum c_k\gamma_k$ in I_1, and $\rho' = \sum b_k\gamma_k'$ in I_2 there are induced readable relations $(\rho, w) = \sum c_k(\gamma_k, w)$ and $(v, \acute{\rho}) = \sum b_k(v, \gamma_k')$ in KQ.

If we have two arrows $\alpha : v_2 \to v_1$ and $\beta : w_2 \to w_1$ in Q_1 and Q_2, respectively, the we have maps:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Lambda \otimes_K \Gamma e_1 \otimes f_1 \arrow[r, "a\otimes f_1"] \arrow[d, "e_1 \otimes b"] & \Lambda \otimes_K \Gamma e_2 \otimes f_1 \arrow[d, "e_2 \otimes b"] \\
\Lambda \otimes_K \Gamma e_2 \otimes f_1 \arrow[r, "a\otimes f_2"] & \Lambda \otimes_K \Gamma e_2 \otimes f_2
\end{tikzcd}$$

making the diagram commute.

Hence we have in I a commutative relation $\zeta_{\alpha,\beta} = (v_2, \beta)(\alpha_1, w_1) - (\alpha_1, w_2)(v_1, \beta)$.

Then I is the ideal generated by the relations $\{ \{\rho\} \times (Q_2)_0 \mid \rho$ a readable relation in $I_1\} \cup \{ (Q_1)_0 \times \{\rho'\} \mid \rho'$a readable relation in $I_2\} \cup \{ \zeta_{\alpha,\beta} \mid \alpha \in (Q_1)_1,\ \beta \in (Q_2)_1\}$.

We obtained the description of Q and I such that $KQ/I \cong \Lambda \otimes_K \Gamma$.

We return to the case $G = G_1 \times G_2$ with G_1, G_2 finite subgroups of $Sl(2, C)$ acting in the way above described as an automorphism group of B_2.

Taking complete sets of primitive orthogonal idempotents $\{ e_1, e_2,... e_k\}$ and $\{ f_1, f_2,... f_\ell\}$ of KG_1 and KG_2, respectively, Letting e, f be the idempotents $e = \sum_{i=1}^{k} e_i$ and $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} f_i$ we saw above $e(B_1 * G_1)e$ and $f(B_1 * G_2)f$ are preprojective algebras of Euclidean diagrams, $e(B_1 * G_1)e \cong K\hat{Q}_1/I_i$ and $f(B_1 * G_2)f \cong K\hat{Q}_2/I_2$ the quiver $\hat{Q}_1$is of the form $(\hat{Q}_1)_0 = (Q_1)_0$, $(\hat{Q}_1)_1 = (Q_1)_1 \cup (Q_1^{op})_1 \cup \{z_i \mid v_i \in (Q_1)_0\}$ and $(\hat{Q}_2)_0 = (Q_2)_0$, $(\hat{Q}_2)_1 = (Q_2)_1 \cup (Q_2^{op})_1 \cup \{z_i \mid w_i \in (Q_2)_0\}$ with Q_1 and Q_2 Euclidean diagrams. and I_1, I_2 the ideals described above

Then $e \otimes f(B_1 * G_1 \otimes_K B_1 * G_2)e \otimes f = e(B_1 * G_1)e \otimes_K f(B_1 * G_2)f \cong K\hat{Q}_1/I_i \otimes K\hat{Q}_2/I_2$ is the tensor product of two algebras related to preprojective algebras of Euclidean diagrams, as described above.

We have $1 \otimes Z = \sum_{i,j} e_i \otimes Zf_j$ and $Z \otimes 1 = \sum_{i,j} Ze_i \otimes f_j$, hence $(Z \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes Z)$ is the ideal generated by readable relations $e_i \otimes Zf_j - Ze_i \otimes f_j$.

Therefore in $(e, f)(B_2 * (G_1 \times G_2))(e, f) \cong (e \otimes f(B_1 * G_1 \otimes_K B_1 * G_2)e \otimes f)/(Z \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes Z)$ we are identifying the two loops $e_i \otimes Zf_j$ and $Ze_i \otimes f_j$.

Then $B_2 * (G_1 \times G_2)$ can be completely described by quiver and relations: it has the same quiver as the tensor product of two preprojective algebras of Euclidean diagrams and in addition a loop in each vertex, the relations are naturally induced from those in each preprojective algebra as studied in detail above. We leave to the reader to write explicitly the quiver and relations as well as the induced relations in $(e, f)A_2(G_1 \times G_2)(e, f) \cong ((e, f)(B_2 * (G_1 \times G_2))(e, f))/(Z - 1)$. Observe that from this could also give a full description of the relations in $B_2^{G_1 \times G_2}$ and $A_2^{G_1 \times G_2}$.

By induction a full description by quivers and relations of the basic algebras Morita equivalent to $B_n * (G_1 \times G_2 \times ...G_n)$ can be given, the algebras come from iterated tensor products of preprojective algebras of Euclidean diagrams and additional loops for each vertex and naturally induced relations.

4. THE ALGEBRAS $C[X]*G$ AND $C[X]^G$, WITH G A FINITE SUBGROUP OF $Gl(n,C)$, SUCH THAT NO $\sigma \in G$, $\sigma \neq 1$, HAS A FIXED POINT.

In this section we study the relations between the categories of finitely generated modules $\mathrm{mod}_{C[X]*G}$ and $\mathrm{mod}_{C[X]^G}$, where $C[X]*G$ is the skew group algebra and $C[X]^G$ the invariant ring of a finite subgroup of $Gl(n,C)$, such that no $\sigma \in G$, $\sigma \neq 1$, has a fixed point.

More precisely, we prove that after killing the modules of finite length, the categories $\mathrm{mod}_{C[X]*G}$ and $\mathrm{mod}_{C[X]^G}$ are equivalent. These results generalize known results for $C[X,Y]$ and finite subgroups of $Sl(2,C)$, [C-B].

Lemma 1. *Assume G is a finite subgroup of $Gl(n,C)$. Then G acts naturally on the polynomial ring in n variables $C[X]$ and the invariant ring $C[X]^G$ is the center of $C[X]*G$.*

Proof. It is clear $C[X]^G$ is contained in the center of $C[X]*G$. Let $v \in Z(C[X]*G)$ be an element in the center, $v = \sum\limits_{g\in G} c_g g$ and $c_g \in C[X]$.

For any $X_i v = vX_i$ for any X_i. Then $X_i v = \sum\limits_{g\in G} c_g X_i g = \sum\limits_{g\in G} c_g g X_i = \sum\limits_{g\in G} c_g X_i^g g$. If $c_g \neq 0$, then $X_i^g = X_i$. Since X_i is arbitrary, $g = 1$ and $v \in C[X]$.

Now, $gv = v^g g = vg$ implies $v = v^g$ for all $g \in G$ and $v \in C[X]^G$. □

It is well known [Be], [Stu], $C[X]^G$ is an affine algebra and $C[X]^G \to C[X]$ an integral extension,, in particular, $\dim C[X]^G = \dim C[X]$ and the maximal spectrums $\max specC[X]^G$ and $\max specC[X]$ are isomorphic [Ku].

Moreover, it is known [Be] that given a prime p of $C[X]^G$ and primes P, Q of $K[X]$ above p, this is: $P \cap C[X]^G = Q \cap C[X]^G = p$, there exists $\sigma \in G$ such that $P^\sigma = Q$ and for any $\sigma \in G$, $P^\sigma \cap C[X]^G = P \cap C[X]^G = p$.

In particular for m a maximal ideal of $C[X]^G$ and maximal ideals n_1, n_2 of $C[X]$ with $n_1 \cap C[X]^G = n_2 \cap C[X]^G = m$, there exists $\sigma \in G$ with $n_2,= n_1^\sigma$ and for any $\sigma \in G$, n_1^σ is a maximal ideal with $n_1^\sigma \cap C[X]^G = n_1 \cap C[X]^G = m$.

The points v of $V = \overset{n}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} CX_i$ correspond to maximal ideals n_v of $C[X]$. In particular, $0 \in V$ corresponds to the irrelevant maximal ideal $n_0 = (X_1, X_2, ...X_n)$ of $C[X]$.

By hypothesis, for $v \neq 0$, the orbit of v under G, $O(v)= \{\sigma(v) \mid \sigma \in G\}$ has order $|O(v)| = |G|$ .The point v corresponds to a maximal ideal n such that $n\cap C[X]^G = m$ and there are $|G|$ ideals above m and they are precisely $\{n^\sigma \mid \sigma \in G\}$. This is m is an unramified prime.

In the case $v = 0$, for any $\sigma \in G$, $\sigma(v) = v$ and the maximal ideal n_0 satisfies $n_0^\sigma = n_0$ for all $\sigma \in G$.

The maximal ideal $n_0 \cap C[X]^G = m_0$ has a unique maximal ideal, n_0, above it.

We consider the two cases separately.

a) The ideal m of $C[X]^G$ is maximal and different of m_0.

Let $n^\sigma$with $\sigma \in G$ be the set of all maximal ideals of $C[X]$ above m_0, hence $mC[X] \subseteq \underset{\sigma\in G}{\cap} n^\sigma$. It follows $\sqrt{mC[X]} = \underset{\sigma\in G}{\cap} n^\sigma$, the radical of $mC[X]$.

It is clear that for any $\tau \in G$, $(\underset{\sigma\in G}{\cap} n^\sigma)^\tau = \underset{\sigma\in G}{\cap} n^{\sigma\tau} = \underset{\sigma\in G}{\cap} n^\sigma$ and $\sqrt{mC[X]}$ is G-invariant.

By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, $\mathrm{C[X]}/\sqrt{m\mathrm{C[X]}}= \mathrm{C[X]}/\underset{\sigma\in G}{\cap} n^{\sigma}= \prod_{\sigma\in G}\mathrm{C[X]}/n^{\sigma}$. Since $C[X]/n\cong Cv$, $\prod_{\sigma\in G}C[X]/n^{\sigma}\cong\prod_{\sigma\in G}Cv^{\sigma}$, with $1=\sum_{\sigma\in G}v^{\sigma}$, and $\{\ v^{\sigma}\}_{\sigma\in G}$ is a complete set of primitive orthogonal idempotents.

For the skew group algebra we have the following isomorphism:
$C[X]^{\cdot}*G/\sqrt{mC[X]}*G\cong(C[X]/\sqrt{mC[X]})*G\cong(\prod_{\sigma\in G}Cv^{\sigma})*G$.

Since $\prod_{\sigma\in G}Cv^{\sigma}$semisimple, it follows by [], $C[X]^{\cdot}*G/\sqrt{mC[X]}*G$ is semismple.

Let S be $S=\prod_{\sigma\in G}Cv^{\sigma}$, the group G acts transitively on the basis $\{\ v^{\sigma}\}_{\sigma\in G}$ of S.

We claim $S*G$ is simple, it will be enough to prove that the center of $S*G$ is C.

It is clear that C is contained in the center. Let $z\in Z(S*G)$ be an element of the center, $z=\sum_{\sigma\in G}s_{\sigma}\sigma$. Each s_{σ} has form $s_{\sigma}=\sum_{\tau\in G}c_{\sigma,v\tau}v^{\tau}$,then $v_{\sigma}^{\rho}=\sum_{\tau\in G}c_{\sigma,\tau}v^{\rho}v^{\tau}=c_{\sigma,\rho}v^{\rho}$.

It follows $v^{\rho}z=\sum_{\sigma\in G}v^{\rho}s_{\sigma}\sigma=\sum_{\sigma\in G}c_{\sigma,\rho}v^{\rho}\sigma=zv^{\rho}=\sum_{\sigma\in G}s_{\sigma}\sigma v^{\rho}=\sum_{\sigma\in G}s_{\sigma}v^{\rho\sigma}\sigma=\sum_{\sigma\in G}c_{\sigma,\rho\sigma}v^{\rho\sigma}\sigma$. Therefore: $c_{\sigma,\rho\sigma}v^{\rho\sigma}=c_{\sigma,\rho}v^{\rho}$ for all ρ, $\sigma$and $c_{\sigma,\rho\sigma}=c_{\sigma,\rho}=0$ for $\sigma\neq 1$.

It follows $z\in S$, this is $z=\sum_{\sigma\in G}c_{\sigma}v^{\sigma}$ and $c_{\sigma}\in C$.Hence, $\tau z=\sum_{\sigma\in G}c_{\sigma}\tau v^{\sigma}=\sum_{\sigma\in G}c_{\sigma}v^{\sigma\tau}\tau=\sum_{\sigma\in G}c_{\sigma}v^{\sigma}\tau=z\tau$ and $\sum_{\sigma\in G}c_{\sigma}v^{\sigma\tau}=\sum_{\sigma\in G}c_{\sigma}v^{\sigma}=\sum_{\sigma\in G}c_{\rho\tau^{-1}}v^{\rho}$. It follows $c_{\rho\tau^{-1}}=c_{\rho}$ for all ρ, τ, in particular, $c_{1}=c_{\tau}$and $z=c_{1}\sum_{\tau\in G}v^{\tau}=c_{1}1$.

Since $S^{G}\subseteq Z(S*G)$ we have proved $S^{G}=Z(S*G)=C$.

The element $e=1/\left|G\right|\sum_{g\in G}g$ is an idempotent of KG, hence a non zero idempotent of $(C[X]/\sqrt{mC[X]})*G$ and $e((C[X]/\sqrt{mC[X]})*G)\neq 0$.

Being the algebra $(C[X]/\sqrt{mC[X]})*G$ simple and the ideal:
$((C[X]/\sqrt{mC[X]})*G)e((C[X]/\sqrt{mC[X]})*G)$ non zero
$(C[X]/\sqrt{mC[X]})*G=((C[X]/\sqrt{mC[X]})*G)e((C[X]/\sqrt{mC[X]})*G)$.

Case 2.

In this case we have : $C[X]^{\cdot}*G/\sqrt{m_0C[X]}*G\cong(C[X]/n_0)*G\cong CG$.

Let L_1, L_2,... L_s the two sided maximal ideals of CG and L_1, L_2,... L_s maximal two sided ideals $C[X]*G$ containing $\sqrt{m_0C[X]}*G$. In particular, $C[X]*G/L_i\cong CG/L_i\cong C$ and $\overset{s}{\underset{i=1}{\cap}}L_i=n_0*G$.

Let $\{m_i\}_{i\in I}$ be the set of maximal ideals of $C[X]^G$ different from m_0, we have a natural homomorphism:

$\Psi:C[X]*G\to\prod_{i\in I}C[X]/\sqrt{m_iC[X]})*G\times C[X]*G/n_0*G$, given by $\Psi(\sum_{g\in G}r_gg)=((\sum_{g\in G}r_gg+\sqrt{m_iC[X]})*G),\ \sum_{g\in G}r_gg+n_0*G)$, which has kernel $\ker\Psi=\underset{i\in I}{\cap}(\underset{\sigma\in G}{\cap}n_i^{\sigma})*G)\cap(n_0*G)=(\underset{i\in I}{\cap}(\underset{\sigma\in G}{\cap}n_i^{\sigma})\cap n_0)*G=0$, since $(\underset{i\in I}{\cap}(\underset{\sigma\in G}{\cap}n_i^{\sigma})\cap n_0)$ is the intersection of all maximal ideals of $C[X]$. The map Ψ is an injective ring homomorphism.

Denote by Σ the product $\prod_{i\in I}S_i*G=\Sigma$ and $\overline{\Sigma}=\Sigma\times CG$.

The map Ψsends the idempotent $e = 1/\left|G\right| \sum\limits_{g\in G} g$, into $\hat{e} = ((\overline{e}, e)$, where $\overline{e} = e + \sqrt{m_i C[X]})*G)$.

Then $\overline{\Sigma}\ \hat{e}\ \overline{\Sigma} = (\prod\limits_{i\in I} S_i * GeS_i * G) \times CGeCG = \prod\limits_{i\in I} S_i * G \times Ce{=}\Sigma \times Ce$.

It is clear that $\Psi(C[X] * GeC[X] * G)$ is contained in $\overline{\Sigma}\ \hat{e}\ \overline{\Sigma} \cap \Psi(C[X] * G) = (\Sigma \times Ce) \cap \Psi(C[X] * G)$.

We want to prove they are equal.

Let $((\overline{r}_i)$, $ce)$ be an element of $(\Sigma \times Ce) \cap \Psi(C[X] * G)$. This means that there exists an element $r = \sum\limits_{g\in G} r_g g \in C[X] * G$ such that $\overline{r} = \overline{r}_i$ for all $i \in I$ and $\overline{r} = c\overline{e}$ in $C[X] * Ge/n_0 * Ge \cong CGe$.Then $\sum\limits_{g\in G} r_g g = ce + he$ with h a polynomial without constant term. This implies for all $g \in G$, $r_g = c + h$ and $\sum\limits_{g\in G} r_g g = (c + h)e \in C[X] * GeC[X] * G$. It follows $(\Sigma \times Ce) \cap \Psi(C[X] * G) = \Psi(C[X] * GeC[X] * G)$.

We have a commutative exact diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{C[X] * GeC[X] * G} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \prod\limits_{i\in I} S_i * G \times Ce \arrow[d] \\
0 \arrow[r] & C[X] * G \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \prod\limits_{i\in I} S_i * G \times CG \arrow[d] \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{C[X] * G/C[X] * GeC[X] * G} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & CG(1-e) \arrow[d] \\
 & 0 & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

It follows C[X]∗G/C[X]∗GeC[X]∗G is a subalgebra of a semisimple algebra, hence it is a finite dimensional C-algebra.

We can identify the category of C[X]∗G/C[X]∗GeC[X]∗G-modules with the category of $C[X] * G$-modules M with $eM = 0$.

Let´s assume more generally that R is a ring and e an idempotent of R. Then there is a functor $Hom_R(\mathrm{Re}, -) : Mod_R \to Mod_{e\,\mathrm{Re}}$, which is exact and it has a left adjoint $\mathrm{Re} \otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}}$- such that $Hom_R(\mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{Re} \otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}} M) = M$.

It follows $Hom_R(\mathrm{Re}, -)$ is a dense functor with kernel $Mod_{R/\,\mathrm{Re}\,R}$.

The category A $=Mod_{R/\,\mathrm{Re}\,R} = \{M \in Mod_R \mid eM = 0\}$ is a dense (Serre) subcategory of Mod_R. [P]

Given an exact sequence of R-modules: $0 \to L \to M \to N \to 0$ applying the exact functor $Hom_R(\mathrm{Re}, -)$ we obtain an exact sequence of $e\,\mathrm{Re}$ -modules: $0 \to eL \to eM \to eN \to 0$, hence $eM = 0$ if and only if $eN = eL = 0$.

Given a dense subcategory A of Mod_R we define the multiplicative system of all maps $f : M \to N$ such that the kernel and the cokernel of f are in A.

We define a quotient category $Mod_R/A = (Mod_R)_\Sigma$ (see [Ga], [P], [Mi]).

The category $(Mod_R)_\Sigma$ is abelian and the quotient functor $\pi : Mod_R \to (Mod_R)_\Sigma$ is exact an it has the following universal property: Given an abelian category C and an exact functor $F : Mod_R \to C$ such that $F(X) = 0$ for all $X \in A$, there is a unique exact functor $H : (Mod_R)_\Sigma \to C$ such that $H\pi = F$.

Theorem 9. *Let R be a ring and e an idempotent of R and A the category A $=\{M \in Mod_R \mid eM = 0\}$. Then there is a commutative diagram of categories and functors:*

$$\begin{tikzcd} Mod_R \arrow[r, "Hom_R(\mathrm{Re},-)"] \arrow[d, "\pi"] & Mod_{e\,\mathrm{Re}} \arrow[d, "\cong"] \\ Mod_R/A \arrow[r, "H"] & Mod_{e\,\mathrm{Re}} \end{tikzcd}$$

, with H an equivalence.

Proof. 1) The functor H is dense.

Since $Hom_R(\mathrm{Re},-)$ is dense, it follows H is dense.

2) The functor H is full.

Let M and N be R-modules and $\mathrm{Re}\otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}} eM \xrightarrow{\mu} M$ the map given by multiplication, taking the kernel and the cokernel of μ we get an exact sequence:

$0 \to L \to \mathrm{Re}\otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}} eM \xrightarrow{\mu} M \to M/\mathrm{Re}\, M \to 0$.

From the exact sequence:

$0 \to eL \to e(\mathrm{Re}\otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}} eM) \xrightarrow{e\mu} eM \to e(M/\mathrm{Re}\, M) \to 0$ and the fact $e\mu$ is an isomorphisms $eL = 0 = e(M/\mathrm{Re}\, M)$.

Hence the multiplication maps $\mathrm{Re}\otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}} eM \xrightarrow{\mu} M$ and $\mathrm{Re}\otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}} eN \xrightarrow{\mu'} N$ are isomorphisms in Mod_R/A.

Given a map $f : eM \to eN$ the "roof"

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \mathrm{Re}\otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}} eM \arrow[dl, "\mu"'] \arrow[dr, "\mu' 1\otimes f"] & \\ M & & N \end{tikzcd}$$

is a map in Mod_R/A such that when we apply the functor $Hom_R(\mathrm{Re},-)$ we obtain maps:

$$\begin{tikzcd} & Hom_R(\mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{Re}\otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}} eM) \arrow[dl, "Hom_R(\mathrm{Re},\mu)"'] \arrow[dr, "Hom_R(\mathrm{Re},\mu' 1\otimes f)"] & \\ Hom_R(\mathrm{Re}, M) & & Hom_R(\mathrm{Re}, N) \end{tikzcd}$$

, with $Hom_R(\mathrm{Re},\mu)$, $Hom_R(\mathrm{Re},\mu')$ isomorphisms.

Hence $H(\mu' 1\otimes f\mu^{-1}) = f$.

3) The functor H is faithful.

Let

$$\begin{tikzcd} & W \arrow[dl, "s"'] \arrow[dr, "f"] & \\ M & & N \end{tikzcd}$$

be a map in Mod_R/A.Then the kernel and the cokernel of s are in A. Assume $H(fs^{-1}) = 0$.

Hence, $H(fs^{-1}) = Hom_R(\mathrm{Re},\, f)Hom_R(\mathrm{Re}, s)^{-1} = 0$ and $Hom_R(\mathrm{Re}, s)$ an isomorphism implies $Hom_R(\mathrm{Re}, f) = ef = 0$.

From the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & eW \arrow[r, "j"] \arrow[d, "ef"'] & W \arrow[d, "f"'] \\ 0 \arrow[r] & eN \arrow[r, "j"] & N \end{tikzcd}$$

we get $fj = 0$.But $j : eW \to W$ is an isomorphism in Mod_R/A. Therefore: $f = 0$ and $fs^{-1} = 0$ in Mod_R/A. □

We come back now to the case of a finite subgroup G of $Gl(n, C)$ such that no $\sigma \in G$ different from the identity has a fixed point, G acting as a group of automorphism of the polynomial ring $C[X]$ in n variables the idempotent $e = 1/\left|G\right| \sum\limits_{g\in G} g$ of CG is an idempotent of the skew group algebra $C[X] * G$ such that $eC[X] * Ge$ is isomorphic to the group of invariants $C[X]^G$.The algebra $C[X]^G$ is a sub algebra of $C[X]$ and $C[X]$ is finitely generated as $C[X]^G$-module. Let $f_1,\ f_2,\ldots\ f_m$ be the generators. The epimorphism $\rho : \underset{m}{\oplus} C[X]^G \to C[X]$ given by $\rho(\gamma_1,\gamma_2,...\gamma_m) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{m}\gamma_i f_i$, extends to a map: $\overset{\wedge}{\rho} : \underset{m}{\oplus} C[X]^G e \to C[X]e$ of left $C[X]^G$-modules $\overset{\wedge}{\rho}(\gamma_1 e,\gamma_2 e,...\gamma_m e) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{m}\gamma_i f_i e$. Let $e\lambda = \lambda e = e\lambda e \in C[X]^G e$. Then $\overset{\wedge}{\rho}(\gamma_1 e\lambda,\gamma_2 e\lambda,...\gamma_m e\lambda) = \overset{\wedge}{\rho}(\gamma_1 \lambda e,\gamma_2 \lambda e,...\gamma_m \lambda e) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{m}\gamma_i \lambda f_i e = (\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m}\gamma_i f_i e)\lambda = (\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m}\gamma_i f_i e)e\lambda$ and $\overset{\wedge}{\rho}$ is a map of $C[X]^G - eC[X]*Ge$ bimodules. If M is a $eC[X]*Ge$-module of finite dimensional over C, from the epimorphism: $\overset{\wedge}{\rho}\otimes 1 : \underset{m}{\oplus} C[X]^G e \otimes_{eC[X]*Ge} M \to C[X]e \otimes_{eC[X]*Ge} M$ and the isomorphism: $C[X]^G e \otimes_{eC[X]*Ge} M \cong eC[X]*Ge \otimes_{eC[X]*Ge} M \cong M$ we obtain that $C[X]e \otimes_{eC[X]*Ge} M = C[X]*Ge \otimes_{eC[X]*Ge} M$ is finite dimensional over C.

It is also clear that if M is a $C[X]*G$-module finite dimensional, then $eM = Hom_{C[X]*G}(\ C[X]*Ge, M)$ is finite dimensional over C.

To simplify the notation we will write $R = C[X]*G$ and $T = eC[X]*Ge \cong C[X]^G$ and denote by S_R and S_T the categories of finite dimensional R and T-modules, respectively.

The categories S_R and S_T are dense subcategories of the categories of finitely generated, mod_R, mod_T, R and T -modules, respectively.

Then we have:

Theorem 10. *Let G be a finite subgroup of $Gl(n,C)$ such that no $\sigma \in G$ different from the identity has a fixed point, G acting as a group of automorphism of the polynomial ring $C[X]$ in n variables and e the idempotent $e = 1/\left|G\right| \sum\limits_{g\in G} g$ of the skew group algebra $C[X]*G$. Writing $R = C[X]*G$ and $T = eC[X]*Ge \cong C[X]^G$ and denoting by S_R and S_T the categories of finite dimensional R and T-modules, respectively and by mod_R, mod_T, the categories of finitely generated, R and T -modules, respectively. Then there is a commutative diagram of categories and functors:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{mod}_R \arrow[r, "Hom_R(\mathrm{Re},-)"] \arrow[d, "\pi_R"] & \mathrm{mod}_T \arrow[d, "\pi_T"] \\
\mathrm{mod}_R/S_R \arrow[r, "H"] & \mathrm{mod}_T/S_T
\end{tikzcd}$$

, with H an equivalence.

Before proving the theorem, observe that there is a graded version.

The ring $e(C[X]*G)e$ is a positively graded C-algebra with grading $(\ e(C[X]*G)e)_k = e((C[X]*G)_k)e$, hence the isomorphism of C-algebras $e(C[X]*G)e \cong C[X]^G$ induces a positive grading on $C[X]^G$. In what follows we will assume $C[X]^G$ has this grading and denote by R and T the positively graded C-algebras $C[X]*G$ and $C[X]^G$, respectively. If we denote by gr_R and gr_T the categories of finitely generated graded R and T modules, respectively, and degree zero maps. Following [], [], we call the quotient categories gr_R/S_R and $gr_T/\ S_T$ the categories of tails $tails_R$ and $tails_T$, another notation used in [MV2] is Qgr_R and Qgr_T.

Theorem 11. *Let G be a finite subgroup of $Gl(n,C)$ such that no $\sigma \in G$ different from the identity has a fixed point, G acting as a group of automorphism of the polynomial ring $C[X]$ in n variables and e the idempotent $e = 1/\left|G\right| \sum\limits_{g\in G} g$ of the skew group algebra $C[X]*G$. Writing $R = C[X]*G$ and $T = eC[X]*Ge \cong C[X]^G$ and considering both as positively graded algebras, denoting by S_R and S_T the categories of finite dimensional graded R and T-modules, respectively and by g_R, gr_T,* *the categories of finitely generated, R and T -modules, respectively and by $tails_R$ and $tails_T$ the quotient categories gr_R/S_R and $gr_T/\ S_T$. Then there is a commutative diagram of categories and functors:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
gr_R \arrow[r, "Hom_R(\mathrm{Re},-)"] \arrow[d, "\pi_R"] & gr_T \arrow[d, "\pi_T"] \\
tails_R \arrow[r, "H"] & tails_T
\end{tikzcd}$$

, with H an equivalence.

We will prove only the ungraded case, the other follows with the same line of arguments.

Proof. 1) The functor H is dense.

It follows as above from the fact $Hom_R(\mathrm{Re},-)$ is dense.

2) The functor H is full.

Let X, Y be objects in mod_R/S_R and consider a map $\varphi : H(X) \to H(Y)$ in mod_T/S_T, with $H(X) = Hom_R(\mathrm{Re}, X)$, $H(Y) = Hom_R(\mathrm{Re}, Y)$ and φ a "roof"

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& W \arrow[dl, "s"'] \arrow[dr, "f"] & \\
eX & & eY
\end{tikzcd}$$

, where the kernel L, and the cokernel N, of s are of finite length.

Applying the tensor functor $\mathrm{Re}\otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}}-$ and composing with multiplication we obtain the maps:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & \mathrm{Re}\otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}}W \arrow[dl, "\mathrm{Re}\otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}}s"'] \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{Re}\otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}}f"] & & \\
& \mathrm{Re}\otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}}eX \arrow[dl, "\mu"'] & & \mathrm{Re}\otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}}eY \arrow[dr, "\mu'"] & \\
X & & & & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

We also have exact sequences:

$\mathrm{Re}\otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}}L \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Re}\otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}}j} \mathrm{Re}\otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}}W \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Re}\otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}}s} \mathrm{Re}\otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}}\mathrm{Im}\, s \to 0$

$\mathrm{Re}\otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}}\mathrm{Im}\, s \to \mathrm{Re}\otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}}eX \to \mathrm{Re}\otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}}N \to 0$

By the above observation, $\mathrm{Re}\otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}}L$ and $\mathrm{Re}\otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}}N$ are finite dimensional C-vector spaces, then $U = \mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{Re}\otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}}j = Ker\,\mathrm{Re}\otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}}s$ is finite dimensional.

We have a commutative exact diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & & 0 \arrow[d] & & \\
& 0 \arrow[d] & & \mathrm{Z} \arrow[d] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{U} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Re}\otimes\mathrm{W} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "1"] & \mathrm{Re}\otimes\mathrm{Ims} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{V} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Re}\otimes\mathrm{W} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Re}\otimes\mathrm{eX} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Re}\otimes\mathrm{N} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
& \mathrm{Z} \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Re}\otimes\mathrm{N} \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Re}\otimes\mathrm{N} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
& 0 & & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Applying the functor $Hom_R(\mathrm{Re},-)$ to the diagram we obtain the commutative exact diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & & 0 \arrow[d] & & \\
 & 0 \arrow[d] & & e\mathrm{Z} \arrow[d] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & e\mathrm{U} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{W} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "1"] \arrow[dr, "s"] & \mathrm{Ims} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "i"] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & e\mathrm{V} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{W} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{eX} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{N} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & e\mathrm{Z} \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{N} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{N} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & 0 & & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since the map i is a monomorphism eZ=0 and by Theorem ? Z is finite dimensional, but both U and Z finite dimensional implies V is finite dimensional.

By the above remark, N of finite dimension implies Re⊗N is of finite dimension and both the kernel and cokernel of $\mathrm{Re}\otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}} s$ are in S_R.

It is clear that $\mathrm{H}(\mu(\mathrm{Re}\otimes\mathrm{f})(\mathrm{Re}\otimes\mathrm{s})^{-1}=\mathrm{Hom}_R\,\mathrm{Re},\mu(\mathrm{Re}\otimes\mathrm{f}))\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{Re},\mathrm{Re}\otimes\mathrm{s})^{-1}$ $=\mathrm{fs}^{-1}$.

3) The functor H is faithful.

Let
$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & W \arrow[dl, "s"'] \arrow[dr, "g"] & \\
X & & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$
be a map in mod_R/S_R, where s has kernel L and cokernel N,both finite dimensional. Assume $H(gs^{-1} = 0$.

There exist an exact sequence: 0→ eL→ eW$\xrightarrow{es}$ eX→ eN→0 and L, $N \in S_R$ implies eL, $eN \in S_T$.Then we have in mod_T/S_T the map:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & eW \arrow[dl, "es"'] \arrow[dr, "eg"] & \\
eX & & eY
\end{tikzcd},$$

which by assumption satisfies $(eg)(es)^{-1} = H(gs^{-1}) = 0$.

Then we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & e\mathrm{W} \arrow[dl, "e\mathrm{s}"'] \arrow[dr, "eg"] & \\
e\mathrm{X} & \mathrm{Z} \arrow[u, "\mathrm{r}_1"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{r}_2"] & e\mathrm{Y} \\
 & \mathrm{V} \arrow[ul, "t'"] \arrow[ur, "0"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

with $esr_1 = t'r_2$ having kernel and cokernel in S_T and $egr_1 = 0$.

We want to see first that r_1 has kernel and cokernel in S_T.

We have a commutative exact diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & & 0 \arrow[d] & & \\
 & 0 \arrow[d] & & e\mathrm{L} \arrow[d] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{B}' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Z} \arrow[r, "r_1"] \arrow[d, "1"] & e\mathrm{W} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "e\mathrm{s}"] & \mathrm{D}' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "u"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{B} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Z} \arrow[r, "esr_1"] & e\mathrm{X} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{D} \arrow[r] & 0 \\
 & & & e\mathrm{N} \arrow[d] & & \\
 & & & 0 & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

with B, D, eL, eN in S_T. We need to check that B' and D' are also in S_T.

From the commutative exact diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{B}' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Z} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "1"'] & \mathrm{E}' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{B} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Z} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{E} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & & & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

We obtain the following commutative exact diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{E}'' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & e\mathrm{L} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{D}'' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{E}' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & e\mathrm{W} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "es"'] & \mathrm{D}' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "u"'] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{E} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & e\mathrm{X} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{D} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & 0 \arrow[r] & e\mathrm{N} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & e\mathrm{N} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since D'' is a quotient of $e\ L$ and $\operatorname{Im} u$ a submodule of D it follows both D'' and $\operatorname{Im} u$ are in S_T.Therefore: D' is in S_T.

From the equality $egr_1 = 0$ it follows eg factors through the finite dimensional module D'.Tensoring with Re, the map: $\mathrm{Re} \otimes eg : \mathrm{Re} \otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}} eW \to \mathrm{Re} \otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}} eY$ factors through a finite dimensional R-module.

We have a commutative exact diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Re} \otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}} eW \arrow[r, "\mu"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Re} \otimes eg"'] & W \arrow[d, "g"] \\
\mathrm{Re} \otimes_{e\,\mathrm{Re}} eY \arrow[r, "\mu'"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the multiplication maps μ and μ' have kernels and cokernels in S_R. It follows that a the nap $g\mu$ factors through a module of finite length. This implies $g\mu = 0$ in $\operatorname{mod}_R / S_R$ and μ an isomorphism in $\operatorname{mod}_R / S_R$ implies $g = 0$ and $s^{-1}g = 0$. □

For the benefit of the reader we prove the last claim in more detail.

Lemma 2. *Assume $H : L \to M$ is a map in* mod_R *which factors through a finite dimensional module. Then $h = 0$ in* $\operatorname{mod}_R / S_R$.

Proof. $h = vu$ with $u : L \to U$, $v : U \to M$ homomorphisms and U a R-module of finite dimension. Changing U by $\operatorname{Im} u$, we can assume u is an epimorphism.

We have a commutative exact diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
 & 0 \arrow[d] & & U' \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & B' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & L \arrow[r, "u"] \arrow[d, "1"'] & U \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "v"'] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & B \arrow[r, "j"] \arrow[d] & L \arrow[r, "h"] & M & \\
 & U' \arrow[d] & & & \\
 & 0 & & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since u is an epimimorphism, then $\operatorname{Im} v = \operatorname{Im} h$ and U' are of finite dimension. Therefore the injective map $j : B \to L$ has kernel and cokernel in S_R.

We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & L \arrow[dl, "1"'] \arrow[dr, "h"] & \\
L & B \arrow[u, "j"] \arrow[d, "j"] & M \\
 & L \arrow[ul, "t'"] \arrow[ur, "0"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

We have proved $h = 0$ in $\operatorname{mod}_R / S_R$. □

We comeback to the last claim of the theorem

Let $s : Z \to L$ be a map in mod_R whose kernel and cokernel are finite dimensional, $h : L \to M$ a map such that hs factors through a module of finite dimension and let $j : B \to Z$ be the kernel of hs. Then j has kernel and cokernel of finite dimension and sj has kernel and cokernel of finite dimension. We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & L \arrow[dl, "1"'] \arrow[dr, "h"] & \\
L & B \arrow[u, "sj"] \arrow[d, "j"] & M \\
 & Z \arrow[ul, "s"] \arrow[ur, "0"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

It follows $h = 0$ in $\operatorname{mod}_R / S_R$.

Remark 1. *In our case $R = C[X] * G$, $T = e(C[X] * G)e \cong C[X]^G$, the algebra $C[X]^G$ is affine this is, the is a polynomial ring $C[Y_1, Y_2, \ldots Y_m] = C[Y]$ and an ideal I of $C[Y]$ such that $C[Y]/I \cong C[X]^G$. The ring $C[X]^G$ has the grading induced by the isomorphism $e(C[X] * G)e \cong C[X]^G$, but in general I is not an homogeneous ideal in the natural grading of $C[Y]$.*

Changing notation, from the graded version of the theorem we have an equivalence of categories: $Qgr_{C[X]*G} \cong Qgr_{C[X]^G}$. This equivalence induces at the level of bounded derived equivalences: $D^b(Qgr_{C[X]*G}) \cong D^b(Qgr_{C[X]^G})$.

As remarked above, the exterior algebra in n variables, Λ_n is the Yoneda algebra of $C[X]$, G acts as an automorphism group of Λ_n and the Yoneda algebra of $C[X] * G$ is $\Lambda_n * G$.

By a theorem of $[MS$ and by $[MM]$ there is an equivalence of triangulated categories: $\underline{gr}_{\Lambda_n * G} \cong D^b(Qgr_{C[X]*G})$, where $\underline{gr}_{\Lambda_n * G}$ denotes the stable category of finitely generated graded modules. Therefore: there is an equivalence of triangulated categories: $\underline{gr}_{\Lambda_n * G} \cong D^b(Qgr_{C[X]^G})$.

We have proved the following:

Corollary 3. *Let G be a finite subgroup of $Gl(n,C)$ such that no $\sigma \in G$ different from the identity has a fixed point, G acting as a group of automorphism of the polynomial ring $C[X]$ in n variables and e the idempotent $e = 1/|G| \sum\limits_{g\in G} g$ of the skew group algebra $C[X] * G$ and let Λ_n be the exterior algebra in n variables. Then there are isomorphisms of triangulated categories: $\underline{gr}_{\Lambda_n * G} \cong \mathrm{D}^b(Qgr_{C[X]^G}) \cong \mathrm{D}^b(Qgr_{C[X]*G})$. In particular, the categories $\mathrm{D}^b(Qgr_{C[X]^G})$ and $\mathrm{D}^b(Qgr_{C[X]*G})$ have Auslander-Reiten triangles and they are of the form ZA_n.*

Proof. For the proof we use the fact $\Lambda_n * G$ is selfinjective Koszul and results from [MZ] . □

4.1. **Invariants for the Weyl algebra A_n and the homogenized Weyl algebra B_n.**.

In this subsection we study the ring of invariants of the Weyl algebra A_n^G with G a finite subgroup of the automorphism group of A_n.We prove A_n^G is a simple algebra Morita equivalent to the skew group algebra $A_n * G$. We then consider the homogenized Weyl algebra B_n and a subgroup G of the group of automorphisms of B_n satisfying some mild conditions, and prove for the invariant group B_n^G and the skew group algebra $B_n * G$ there is a a theorem relating the category of finitely generated left B_n^G modules and the category of finitely generated $B_n * G$ modules, similar to the last theorem of the previous section.

Theorem 12. *Let Λ be a simple algebra over a field K and, G a finite group of automorphisms of Λ.Then the skew group algebra $\Lambda * G$ is simple.*

Proof. Let I be a non zero two sided ideal of $\Lambda * G$ and $r = a_0 + a_1\sigma_1 + ...a_t\sigma_t$with $a_i \in \Lambda$ and $\sigma_i \in G$, if some $a_i \neq 0$ the element $r\sigma_i^{-1} \neq 0$ is in I and the coefficient of the identity is no zero, hence we can assume all a_i in the expression of r are non zero and call $t+1 = \ell(r)$ the length of r. We can choose r to be of minimal length among the non zero elements of I. Consider the set L_0 defined by $L_0 = \{b_0 \mid b_0 + b_1\sigma_1 + ...b_t\sigma_t \in I\} \cup \{0\}$. By definition L_0 is non zero, we prove it is a two sided ideal of Λ.

Let $r_1 = b_0 + b_1\sigma_1 + ...b_t\sigma_t$ and $r_2 = c_0 + c_1\sigma_1 + ...c_t\sigma_t$ be two elements of I.Then $r_1 + r_2 = (b_0 + c_0) + (b_1 + c_1)\sigma_1 + ...(b_t + c_t)\sigma_t$ is in I and $\ell(r_1 + r_3) \leq \ell(r_1)$, by minimality either $r_1 + r_2 = 0$ or $\ell(r_1 + r_3) = \ell(r_1)$ in any case $b_0 + c_0 \in L_0$.

Let a be a non zero element of Λ. Then $ar_1 = ab_0 + ab_1\sigma_1 + ...ab_t\sigma_t$ and $r_1a = b_0a + b_1a^{\sigma_1}\sigma_1 + ...b_ta^{\sigma_t}\sigma_t$ are in I with ab_0 and b_0a in L_0.Hence L_0 is a two sided ideal.

It follows $L_0 = \Lambda$ and there is an expression of minimal length $1 + b_1\sigma_1 + ...b_t\sigma_t$ in I.

If $a_0 + a_1\sigma_1 + ...a_t\sigma_t$ is another non zero expression in I, then $(a_0b_{1-}a_1)\sigma_1 + ..(a_0b_t. - a_t)\sigma_t \in I$ and by minimality $a_0b_i - a_i = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. This is: $a_0 + a_1\sigma_1 + ...a_t\sigma_t = a_0(1 + b_1\sigma_1 + ...b_t\sigma_t)$.

Multiplying by σ_i^{-1} we obtain the expression $b_i + b_1\sigma\sigma_i^{-1} + ...b_{i-1}\sigma_{i-1} + \sigma_i^{-1} + b_{i+1}\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i^{-1} + ...b_t\sigma_t\sigma_i^{-1}$ belongs to I is non zero and of minimal length. We define as above the set $L_i = \{\ a_0 \mid a_0 + a_1\sigma\sigma_i^{-1} + ...a_{i-1}\sigma_{i-1} + a_i\sigma_i^{-1} + a_{i+1}\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i^{-1} + ...a_t\sigma_t\sigma_i^{-1} \in I\}$. As before L_i is a non zero two sided ideal of A_n.Then there is an expression $1 + c_1\sigma\sigma_i^{-1} + ...c_{i-1}\sigma_{i-1} + c_i\sigma_i^{-1} + c_{i+1}\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i^{-1} + ...c_t\sigma_t\sigma_i^{-1}$ in I and $b_i + b_1\sigma\sigma_i^{-1} + ...b_{i-1}\sigma_{i-1} + \sigma_i^{-1} + b_{i+1}\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i^{-1} + ...b_t\sigma_t\sigma_i^{-1} = b_i(1 + c_1\sigma\sigma_i^{-1} +$

$...c_{i-1}\sigma_{i-1} + c_i\sigma_i^{-1} + c_{i+1}\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i^{-1} + ...c_t\sigma_t\sigma_i^{-1})$.In particular, $b_ic_i = 1$ and $b_i \in C-\{0\}$ is a unity. Since the element was arbitrary all coefficients in $1+b_1\sigma_1+...b_t\sigma_t$ are units, this is they are non zero complex numbers.

Assume the length $t > 0$.

Let $\{ X_i\}_{i\in\Phi}$ be a set of algebra generators of Λ as K-algebra. Then $X_i + X_ib_1\sigma_1 + ...X_ib_t\sigma_t$ and $X_i + b_1X_i^{\sigma_1}\sigma_1 + ...b_tX_i^{\sigma_t}\sigma_t$ are elements of I and $b_1(X_i - X_i^{\sigma_1})\sigma_1 + ...b_t(X_i - X_i^{\sigma_t})\sigma_t$ is in I.By minimality $X_i = X_i^{\sigma_1}$ for an arbitrary X_i. But if σ_1 fixes all the generators of Λ. then $\sigma_1 = 1$, a contradiction. It follows $t = 0$ and $\Lambda = \{a \in \Lambda \mid a1 \in I\}$.Therefore $1 \in I$ and $I = \Lambda * G$. □

As a corollary we obtain the following:

Corollary 4. *Let* A_n=C<X_1,X_2,...X_n, Y_1, Y_2,... Y_n>/{[X_i,X_j],[Y_i, Y_j],[X_i, Y_j]-δ_{ij}} *be a Weyl algebra and, G a finite group of automorphisms of* A_n.*Then the skew group algebra* $\Lambda * G$ *is simple.*

Another consequence of the theorem is the following:

Theorem 13. *Let* Λ *be a simple algebra over a field* K *and,* G *a finite group of automorphisms of* Λ.*Then the algebra of invariants* Λ^G *is simple.*

Proof. Let I be a non zero ideal of Λ^G.Then $Ie = eIe$ is a non zero ideal of $\Lambda^Ge = e\Lambda * Ge$.

The ideal $\Lambda * GeIe\Lambda * G$ of $\Lambda * G$ is non zero otherwise, e$\Lambda * GeIe\Lambda * Ge = eIe = 0$.Therefore $\Lambda * GeIe\Lambda * G = \Lambda * G$ and $eIe = e\Lambda * GeIe\Lambda * G\ e = e\Lambda * Ge$. □

Corollary 5. *Let* A_n=C<X_1,X_2,...X_n, Y_1, Y_2,... Y_n>/{[X_i,X_j],[Y_i, Y_j],[X_i, Y_j]-δ_{ij}} *be a Weyl algebra and, G a finite group of automorphisms of* A_n.*Then the algebra of invariants* A_n^G *is simple.*

We can prove now the following:

Theorem 14. *Let* Λ *be a simple algebra over a field* K *and,* G *a finite group of automorphisms of* Λ. *Then the algebra of invariants* Λ^G *and the skew group algebra* $\Lambda * G$ *are Morita equivalent.*

Proof. Consider the idempotent of $\Lambda * G$, $e = 1/\,|G| \sum\limits_{\sigma\in G}\sigma$. We prove first that the functor $F = Hom_{\Lambda*G}(\Lambda * Ge, -) : Mod_{\Lambda*G} \to Mod_{e\Lambda*Ge}$ has zero kernel.

A $\Lambda * G$-module M is in the kernel of F if and only if $eM = 0$,this is: if and only if $\Lambda * Ge\Lambda * GM = 0$. But $\Lambda * G$ simple implies $\Lambda * G = \Lambda * Ge\Lambda * G$ and M is in the kernel of F if and only if $M = 0$.

The functor F is always dense.

Let $f : M \to N$ be a map of $\Lambda * G$-modules and $ef : eM \to eN$ the restriction.

There is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Lambda * Ge \otimes \otimes_{e\Lambda*Ge} eM \arrow[r, "\mu"] \arrow[d, "\Lambda * Ge \otimes ef"'] & M \arrow[d, "f"'] \\
\Lambda * Ge \otimes_{e\Lambda*Ge} eN \arrow[r, "\mu'"] & N
\end{tikzcd}$$

with μ and μ' multiplication. The kernels of μ and μ' are annihilated by e, hence they are zero and the cokernel of μ is $M/\Lambda * Ge\Lambda * GM = 0$.

Similarly for μ'.It follows both μ and μ' are isomorphisms.

Therefore $ef = 0$ implies $f = 0$ and F is faithful.

Let $g : M' \to N'$be a map of $e\Lambda * Ge$-modules. Since F is dense, there exists $\Lambda * G$-modules M and N such that $eM \cong M'$and $eN \cong N'$and g can be identified with a map $g : eM \to eN$.There are maps:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Lambda * Ge \otimes \otimes_{e\Lambda * Ge} eM \arrow[r, "\mu"] \arrow[d, "\Lambda * Ge \otimes g"'] & M \\
\Lambda * Ge \otimes_{e\Lambda * Ge} eN \arrow[r, "\mu'"] & N
\end{tikzcd}$$

with μ, μ'isomorphisms and the map $f = \mu' \Lambda * Ge \otimes g\mu^{-1}$is such that $Hom_{\Lambda * G}(\Lambda * Ge, f) = ef = g$.

Therefore $Hom_{\Lambda * G}(\Lambda * Ge, -)$ is full. □

Corollary 6. *Let* $A_n = C{<}X_1,X_2,...X_n,Y_1,Y_2,...Y_n{>}/\{[X_i,X_j],[Y_i,Y_j],[X_i,Y_j]\text{-}\delta_{ij}\}$ *be a Weyl algebra and, G a finite group of automorphisms of A_n.Then the algebra of invariants A_n^G and the skew group algebra $A_n * G$ are Morita equivalent.*

Corollary 7. *Let G be a finite subgroup of $Sl(2,C)$ acting as automorphism group of A_1. Then $A_1 * G$ and A_1^G are simple and Morita equivalent to the deformed preprojective algebra. In particular the deformed preprojective algebra is simple.*

For more results on the deformed preprojective algebra we refer to [C-BH].

We analyze next the relations between $\text{mod}_{B_n * G}$ and $\text{mod}_{B_n^G}$ for a special class of subgroups of automorphisms of B_n, which include finite products of finite subgroups of $Sl(2,C)$.

We will need the following:

Theorem 15. *Let Λ be a noetherian algebra over a field K and, G a finite group of automorphisms of Λ such that Λ is finitely generated as left module over the ring of invariants Λ^G. Then Λ^G is a noetherian algebra.*

Proof. Let I be a left ideal of Λ^G. Then ΛI is a left ideal and by hypothesis, ΛI is finitely generated as Λ-module and Λ finitely generated over Λ^G implies ΛI is a finitely generated Λ^G-module. Let $\{\sum_{i=1}^{n_j} b_i^j u_i^j,\ 1 \leq j \leq m \mid b_i^j \in \Lambda,\ u_i^j \in I\}$ be a set of generators of ΛI. Then $\{b_i^j u_i^j,\ 1 \leq j \leq m \mid b_i^j \in \Lambda,\ u_i^j \in I\}$ is also a set of generators of ΛI,after re indexing we have a set of generators $\{b_i u_i,\ 1 \leq i \leq n \mid b_i \in \Lambda,\ u_i \in I\}$. Let x be an element of I. Then for $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exists $c_i \in \Lambda^G$ such that $x = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i b_i u_i$. Then for $\sigma \in G$, $x = x^\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i^\sigma b_i^\sigma u_i^\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i b_i^\sigma u_i$ and

$$x = 1/|G| \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sum_{i=1}^m c_i b_i^\sigma u_i = 1/|G| \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\sigma \in G} c_i b_i^\sigma u_i = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i (1/|G| \sum_{\sigma \in G} b_i^\sigma) u_i = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i t_i u_i,$$

with c_i, $t_i \in \Lambda^G$.

Therefore: I is finitely generated as Λ^G-module. □

Proposition 3. *Let G be a finite group of grade preserving automorphisms of B_n fixing Z.Then B_n is a finitely generated left (right) B_n^G-module.*

Proof. Since G is a group of grade preserving automorphisms B_n^G is a positively graded ring with $(B_n^G)_i = \{b \in (B_n)_i \mid \sigma(b) = b \text{ for all } \sigma \in G\}$ and the inclusion $j_1 : B_n^G \to B_n$ is a homomorphism of graded C-algebras.

Let C_n be the polynomial ring in $2n$ variables, G acts as an automorphism group of C_n, let C_n^G be the ring of invariants and $j_0 : C_n^G \to C_n$ the inclusion as a graded subring.

We have a commutative exact diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & ZB_n^G \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "j_2"] & B_n^G \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "j_1"] & C_n^G \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "j_0"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & ZB_n \arrow[r] & B_n \arrow[r] & C_n \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

We know C_n is a finitely generated C_n^G-module. [] and we can choose homogeneous generators c_1, c_2,... c_t of C_n as C_n^G-module . Let b_1, b_2,... b_t be homogeneous elements of B_n such that $b_i + ZB_n = c_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$.

Let b be an element of B_n of degree d.Then $b + ZB_n = \sum_{i=1}^{t} r_i^0 b_i + ZB_n$, with $r_i^0 \in B_n^G$ and $b = \sum_{i=1}^{t} r_i^0 b_i + Z\mu_1$.

Since b, b_1, b_2,... b_t are homogeneous we can choose r_i^0 and μ_1 homogeneous elements with degreer_i^0+degree b_i= d and degree$\mu_1 = d-1$.

Then $\mu_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{t} r_i^1 b_i + Z\mu_2$ with μ_2 homogeneous of degree $d-2$.Continuing by induction we obtain μ_i homogeneous of degree $d-i$, in particular μ_d has degree zero, which mans it is a constant and we get:

$b = \sum_{i=1}^{t} r_i^0 b_i + \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \sum_{i=1}^{t} Z^j r_i^j b_i + Z^d k$, k a complex number. Then $b = \sum_{i=1}^{t} (\sum_{j=0}^{d-1} Z^j r_i^j) b_i + Z^d k$, with $Z^j r_i^j \in B_n^G$ and 1, b_1, b_2,... b_t generate B_n as left B_n^G-module. □

Corollary 8. *Let G be a finite group of grade preserving automorphisms of B_n fixing Z.Then B_n^G is a noetherian algebra.*

Lemma 3. *Let B_n be the homogenized Weyl K-algebra over an infinite field H. Then $\bigcap_{c\in K} (Z-c)B_n = 0$.*

Proof. Since B_n has a Poincare-Birkoff basis with Z in the center, any element of B_n is a polynomial $g/X,Y,Z)$ in X´s, Y´s and Z. Given $d \in K$ $Z-d$ divides g if and only if $g(X,Y,d) = 0$.

It is clear that $g(X,Y,Z) = q(X,Y,Z)(Z-d)$ implies $g(X,Y,d) = 0$. We can write g as a polynomial in Z, $g(X,Y,Z) = g_0(X,Y) + g_1(X,Y)Z + g_2(X,Y)Z^2 + \ldots g_t(X,Y)Z^t$ with $g_i(X,Y)$ polynomials in X´s and Y´s.

Then $g_i(X,Y)Z^i = g_i(X,Y)((Z-d)+d)^i = g_i'(X,Y,Z)(Z-d) + g_i(X,Y)d^i$ and $g(X,Y,Z) = q(X,Y,Z)(Z-d) + g(X,Y,d)$.Therefore $g(X,Y,d) = 0$ implies $Z-d$ divides g.

Assume now $0 \neq h \in \bigcap_{c\in K} (Z-c)B_n$. Then $h = (Z-c_1)q_1 = (Z-c_2)f$ with $c_1 \neq c_2$.Then $(c_2-c_1)q_1(X,Y,c_2) = (c_2-c_2)f = 0$ implies $Z-c_2$ divides $q_1(X,Y,Z)$ and $h = (Z-c_1)(Z-c_2)q_2$.

Assume h is a polynomial in Z of degree m.Continuing by induction we obtain $h = (Z-c_1)(Z-c_2)\ldots(Z-c_{m+1})q_{m+1}$ a contradiction.

Therefore: $\bigcap_{c\in K} (Z-c)B_n = 0$. □

In what remains of the section we want to extend the theorems of the previous subsection to the homogenized Weyl algebras, the following result will be crucial:

Proposition 4. *Let B_n =$C<X_1,X_2,\ldots X_n,Y_1,Y_2,\ldots Y_n,Z>/\{[X_i,X_j],[Y_i,Y_j],[X_i,Y_j]-\delta_{ij}Z^2,[X_i,Z],[Y_i,Z]\}$ be the homogenized Weyl algebra in 2n+1 variables, G a finite* *group of grade preserving automorphisms of* B_n *and* e *the idempotent of* $B_n * G$, $e = 1/\left|G\right| \sum\limits_{\sigma \in G} \sigma$. *Moreover, assume* B_n *satisfies the following conditions:*

i) For all $\sigma \in G$, $\sigma(Z) = Z$.

ii) The C*-subspace* $V = \overset{n}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} CX_i \oplus \overset{n}{\underset{j=1}{\oplus}} CY_j$ *of* B_n *is* G*- invariant.*

iii) If $v \in V$, $v \neq 0$ *and* $\sigma(v) = v$, *then* $\sigma = 1$.

Then $B_n * G/B_n * GeB_n * G$ *is a finite dimensional* C*-algebra.*

Proof. We will use the commutative exact diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & ZB_n^G \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "j_2"] & B_n^G \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "j_1"] & C_n^G \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "j_0"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & ZB_n \arrow[r] & B_n \arrow[r] & C_n \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $\{\overline{m}_i\}_{i \in I}$ be the set of maximal ideals of C_n^G , for each $\overline{m}_i$ an ideal $\overline{n}_i$ of C_n such that $C_n \cap \overline{n}_i = \overline{m}_i$. Let $\overline{n}_0 = (X_1,\ X_2,\ldots\ X_n,\ Y_1,\ Y_2,\ldots\ Y_n)$ be the maximal irrelevant ideal of C_n and $\overline{m}_0 = C_n \cap \overline{n}_0$.

We saw in Proposition ?, that given any ideal $\overline{m}_i \neq \overline{m}_0$, $\{\overline{n}_i^\sigma\}_{\sigma \in G}$, such that $\overline{n}_i^\sigma = \overline{n}_i^\tau$ implies $\sigma = \tau$, is the set of maximal ideals of C_n above $\overline{m}_i$. In particular, the radical $\sqrt{\overline{m}_i C_n} = \underset{\sigma \in G}{\cap} \overline{n}_i^\sigma$ is a G-invariant ideal of C_n, and $\sqrt{\overline{m}_0 C_n} = \overline{n}_0$.

By the isomorphism theorems, there exists maximal ideals $\{\ m_i\}_{i \in I}$ of B_n^G containing ZB_n^G, such that $m_i/ZB_n^G \cong \overline{m}_i$ and maximal ideals $\{n_i\}_{i \in I}$ of B_n containing ZB_n such that $n_i/ZB_n \cong \overline{n}_i$.

From the isomorphisms: $n_i/ZB_n \cap B_n^G/ZB_n^G = n_i \cap B_n^G/ZB_n^G = m_i/ZB_n^G$, we get $n_i \cap B_n^G = m_i$ and $n_i^\sigma \cap B_n^G = m_i$ for all $\sigma \in G$. It follows $L_i = \underset{\sigma \in G}{\cap} n_i^\sigma$ is a G-invariant ideal of B_n, with $L_0 = n_0$.

We have $ZB_n \subseteq \underset{i \in I}{\cap}\ \underset{\sigma \in G}{\cap} n_i^\sigma = \underset{i \in I}{\cap} L_i$ and $\underset{i \in I}{\cap} L_i/ZB_n = \underset{i \in I}{\cap}\ \underset{\sigma \in G}{\cap} \overline{n}_i^\sigma = 0$.Therefore: $\underset{i \in I}{\cap}\ \underset{\sigma \in G}{\cap} n_i^\sigma = ZB_n$.

It was proved in [], that for any $c \in C - \{0\}$ there is an isomorphism $B_n/(Z - c)B_n \cong A_n$, hence each $(Z - c)B_n$ is a maximal ideal of B_n.

Then we have: $\underset{i \in I}{\cap}\ \underset{\sigma \in G}{\cap} n_i^\sigma \cap \underset{c \in C - \{0\}}{\cap} (Z - c)B = \underset{c \in C}{\cap} (Z - c)B = 0$.

We have a ring homomorphism:

$$\psi : B_n * G \to \prod_{i \in I - \{0\}} B_n * G/L_i * G \times B_n * G/n_0 * G \times \prod_{c \in C - \{0\}} B_n * G/(Z - c)B_n * G$$

whose kernel is zero.

By the isomorphism theorems: $B_n/L_i \cong B_n/ZB_n/L_i/ZB_n \cong C_n\ /\sqrt{\overline{m}_i C_n}$ and $B_n/n_0 \cong B_n/ZB_n/n_0/ZB_n \cong C_n/\overline{n}_0 \cong C$.

Therefore: $B_n * G/n_0 * G \cong CG$ and for each $i \neq 0$, $S_i * G = B_n * G/L_i * G \cong C_n/\sqrt{\overline{m}_i C_n} * G$ is a simple finite dimensional algebra, as we proved in Proposition ?.

Then we have an injective ring homomorphism:

$$\psi : B_n * G \to \prod_{i \in I - \{0\}} S_i * G \times CG \times \prod_{c \in C - \{0\}} A_n * G$$

By the simplicity of $S_i * G$ and $A_n * G$ for the idempotent e we have: $S_i * GeS_i * G = S_i * G$ and $A_n * GeA_n * G = A_n * G$.

$\psi(e) = \hat{e} = ((e), e, (e))$ is an idempotent of $\prod\limits_{i \in I - \{0\}} S_i * G \times CG \times \prod\limits_{c \in C - \{0\}} A_n * G$ such that:

$(\prod_{i\in I-\{0\}} \mathrm{S}_i{*}\mathrm{G}\times\mathrm{CG}\times \prod_{c\in C-\{0\}} \mathrm{A}_n{*}\mathrm{G})\hat{e}\ (\prod_{i\in I-\{0\}} \mathrm{S}_i{*}\mathrm{G}\times\mathrm{CG}\times \prod_{c\in C-\{0\}} \mathrm{A}_n{*}\mathrm{G})=$

$(\prod_{i\in I-\{0\}} \mathrm{S}_i{*}\mathrm{G}\times\mathrm{CGe}\times \prod_{c\in C-\{0\}} \mathrm{A}_n{*}\mathrm{G}$ and as in Therorem ? there is an injective ring homomorphism:

$B_n * G/B_n * GeB_n * G \to CG/CGe.$

It follows $B_n * G/B_n * GeB_n * G$ is a finite dimensional C-algebra. □

We have all the ingredients to prove for the homogenized Weyl algebras, theorem analogous to Theorem9 and Theorem 10, what was essential in the proof of those theorems was the fact $C_n * G/C_n * GeC_n * G$ is a finite dimensional C-algebra and the fact C_n is a finitely generated C_n^G-module. Then the proof of the next two theorems follows by similar arguments to those used in the proof of Theorem 9 and Theorem 10 and we will skip it.

Theorem 16. *Let* $B_n = C<X_1,X_2,\ldots X_n,Y_1,Y_2,\ldots Y_n,Z>/\{[X_i,X_j],[Y_i,Y_j],[X_i,Y_j]-\delta_{ij}Z^2,[X_i,Z],[Y_i,Z]\}$ *be the homogenized Weyl algebra in 2n+1 variables,* G *a finite group of grade preserving automorphisms of* B_n *and* e *the idempotent of* $B_n * G$, $e = 1/|G| \sum_{\sigma\in G}\sigma$. *Moreover, assume* B_n *satisfies the following conditions:*

i) For all $\sigma \in G$, $\sigma(Z) = Z$.

ii) The C*-subspace* $V = \overset{n}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} CX_i \oplus \overset{n}{\underset{j=1}{\oplus}} CY_j$ *of* B_n *is* G*- invariant.*

iii) If $v \in V$, $v \neq 0$ *and* $\sigma(v) = v$, *then* $\sigma = 1$.

Then: writing $R = B_n * G$ *and* $T = eB_n * Ge \cong B_n^G$ *and denoting by* S_R *and* S_T *the categories of finite dimensional* R *and* T*-modules, respectively and by* mod_R, mod_T, *the categories of finitely generated,* R *and* T *-modules, respectively. Then there is a commutative diagram of categories and functors:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{mod}_R \arrow[r, "Hom_R(\mathrm{Re},-)"] \arrow[d, "\pi_R"] & \mathrm{mod}_T \arrow[d, "\pi_T"] \\
\mathrm{mod}_R/S_R \arrow[r, "H"] & \mathrm{mod}_T/S_T
\end{tikzcd}$$

, with H *an equivalence.*

We have also the graded version:

Theorem 17. *Let* $B_n = C<X_1,X_2,\ldots X_n,Y_1,Y_2,\ldots Y_n,Z>/\{[X_i,X_j],[Y_i,Y_j],[X_i,Y_j]-\delta_{ij}Z^2,[X_i,Z],[Y_i,Z]\}$ *be the homogenized Weyl algebra in 2n+1 variables,* G *a finite group of grade preserving automorphisms of* B_n *and* e *the idempotent of* $B_n * G$, $e = 1/|G| \sum_{\sigma\in G}\sigma$. *Moreover, assume* B_n *satisfies the following conditions:*

i) For all $\sigma \in G$, $\sigma(Z) = Z$.

ii) The C*-subspace* $V = \overset{n}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} CX_i \oplus \overset{n}{\underset{j=1}{\oplus}} CY_j$ *of* B_n *is* G*- invariant.*

iii) If $v \in V$, $v \neq 0$ *and* $\sigma(v) = v$, *then* $\sigma = 1$. *Then: writing* $R = B_n * G$ *and* $T = eB_n * Ge \cong B_n^G$ *and considering both as positively graded algebras, denoting by* S_R *and* S_T *the categories of finite dimensional graded* R *and* T*-modules, respectively and by* g_R, gr_T, *the categories of finitely generated,* R *and* T *-modules, respectively and by* $tails_R$ *and* $tails_T$ *the quotient categories* gr_R/S_R *and* $gr_T/\ S_T$. *Then there is a commutative diagram of categories and functors:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
gr_R \arrow[r, "Hom_R(\mathrm{Re},-)"] \arrow[d, "\pi_R"] & gr_T \arrow[d, "\pi_T"] \\
tails_R \arrow[r, "H"] & tails_T
\end{tikzcd}$$

,

with H *an equivalence.*

We also have as in Theorem 10:

Changing notation, from the graded version of the theorem we have an equivalence of categories: $Qgr_{B_n*G} \cong Qgr_{B_n^G}$. This equivalence induces at the level of bounded derived equivalences: $D^b(\ Qgr_{B_n*G}) \cong D^b(Qgr_{B_n^G})$.

As remarked above, G acts as an automorphism group of $B_n^!$, the Yoneda algebra of B_n and the Yoneda algebra of $B_n * G$ is $B_n^! *G$.

The algebra $B_n^! *G$ is Koszul selfinjective. By a theorem of [MS and by [MM] there is an equivalence of triangulated categories: $\underline{gr}_{B_n^!*G} \cong D^b(\ Qgr_{B_n*G})$, where $\underline{gr}_{B_n^!*G}$ denotes the stable category of finitely generated graded modules. Therefore: there is an equivalence of triangulated categories: $\underline{gr}_{B_n^!*G} \cong D^b(Qgr_{B_n^G})$.

We have proved the following:

Corollary 9. *Let* $B_n = C{<}X_1{,}X_2{,}\ldots X_n{,}Y_1{,}Y_2{,}\ldots Y_n{,}Z{>}/\{[X_i{,}X_j]{,}[Y_i{,}Y_j]{,}[X_i{,}Y_j]{-}\delta_{ij}Z^2{,}[X_i{,}Z]{,}[Y_i{,}Z]\}$ *be the homogenized Weyl algebra in 2n+1 variables,* G *a finite group of grade preserving automorphisms of* B_n *and* e *the idempotent of* $B_n * G$, $e = 1/\left|G\right| \sum\limits_{\sigma\in G}\sigma$. *Moreover, assume* B_n *satisfies the following conditions:*

i) For all $\sigma \in G$, $\sigma(Z) = Z$.

ii) The C*-subspace* $V = \overset{n}{\underset{i=1}{\oplus}} CX_i \oplus \overset{n}{\underset{j=1}{\oplus}} CY_j$ *of* B_n *is* G*- invariant.*

iii) If $v \in V$, $v \neq 0$ *and* $\sigma(v) = v$, *then* $\sigma = 1$.

Let $B_n^!$ *be the Yoneda algebra of* B_n. *Then there are isomorphisms of triangulated categories:* $\underline{gr}_{B_n^!*G} \cong \mathrm{D}^b(Qgr_{B_n^G}) \cong \mathrm{D}^b(\ Qgr_{B_n*G})$.*In particular, the categories* $\mathrm{D}^b(Qgr_{B_n^G})$ *and* $\mathrm{D}^b(\ Qgr_{B_n*G})$ *have Auslander-Reiten triangles and they are of the form* ZA_n.

Proof. As before, the proof uses the fact $B_n^! * G$ is selfinjective Koszul and results from [MZ] . ☐

References

[AR] Auslander, M.; Reiten, I.; McKay quivers and extended Dynkin diagrams. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 293 (1986), no. 1, 293–301

[Be] Benson D,; Polynomial Invariants of Finite Groups. London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series 190, Cambridge University Press, 1993.

[CR] Curtis Ch. W. Reiner I. Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras, Pure and Applied Mathematics Vol XI, Interscience 1962

[C-BH] Crawley-Boevey, W.; Holland, M. P. Noncommutative deformations of Kleinian singularities. Duke Math. J. 92 (1998), no. 3, 605–635.

[C-B] Crawley-Boevey W. DMV Lectures on Representations of quivers, preprojective algebras and deformation of quotient singularities.(preprint Leeds)

[Co] Coutinho S.C. A Primer of Algebraic D-modules, London Mathematical Society, Students Texts 33, 1995

[Ga] Gabriel, Pierre Des catégories abéliennes. Bull. Soc. Math. France 90 1962 323–448.

[GH] Green, E.; Huang, R. Q. Projective resolutions of straightening closed algebras generated by minors. Adv. Math. 110 (1995), no. 2, 314–333.

[GM1] Green, E. L.; Martínez Villa, R.; Koszul and Yoneda algebras. Representation theory of algebras (Cocoyoc, 1994), 247–297, CMS Conf. Proc., 18, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

[GM2] Green, E. L.; Martínez-Villa, R.; Koszul and Yoneda algebras. II. Algebras and modules, II (Geiranger, 1996), 227–244, CMS Conf. Proc., 24, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.

[GMT] Guo, Jin Yun; Martínez-Villa, R.; Takane, M.; Koszul generalized Auslander regular algebras. Algebras and modules, II (Geiranger, 1996), 263–283, CMS Conf. Proc., 24, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998

[GuM] Guo, Jin Yun; Martínez-Villa, R.; Algebra pairs associated to McKay quivers. Comm. Algebra 30 (2002), no. 2, 1017–1032.

[Ku] Kunz E.; Introduction to Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry, Birkhäuser, 1985

[Le] Lenzing H.;, Polyhedral groups and the geometric study of tame hereditary algebras (preprint Paderborn).

[Li] Li Huishi. Noncommutative Groebner Bases and Filtered-Graded Transfer, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1795, Springer, 2002

[MM] Martínez-Villa, R., Martsinkovsky; A. Stable Projective Homotopy Theory of Modules, Tails, and Koszul Duality, (aceptado 11 de septiembre 2009), Comm. Algebra 38 (2010), no. 10, 3941–3973.

[MS] Martínez Villa, Roberto; Saorín, M.; Koszul equivalences and dualities. Pacific J. Math. 214 (2004), no. 2, 359–378.

[MZ] Martínez-Villa, Roberto; Zacharia, Dan; Approximations with modules having linear resolutions. J. Algebra 266 (2003), no. 2, 671–697.

[MV1] Martínez-Villa, R.; Skew group algebras and their Yoneda algebras. Math. J. Okayama Univ. 43 (2001), 1–16.

[MV2] Martínez-Villa, R.; Serre duality for generalized Auslander regular algebras. Trends in the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras (Seattle, WA, 1997), 237–263, Contemp. Math., 229, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.

[MV3] Martínez-Villa, R.; Applications of Koszul algebras: the preprojective algebra. Representation theory of algebras (Cocoyoc, 1994), 487–504, CMS Conf. Proc., 18, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

[MV4] Martinez-Villa, R. Graded, Selfinjective, and Koszul Algebras, J. Algebra 215, 34-72 1999

[MMo] Martinez-Villa, R. Mondragon J. On the homogeneized Weyl Algebra. (preprint 2011).

[Mi] Miyachi, Jun-Ichi; Derived Categories with Applications to Representations of Algebras, Chiba Lectures, 2002.

[Mu] Müller W. Darstellungstheorie von eindlichen Gruppen, Teubner Studienbücher, 1980

[Mc] McKay J.;, Graphs, singularities and finite groups, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 37, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1980, pp. 183-186.

[P] Popescu N.; Abelian Categories with Applications to Rings and Modules;, L.M.S. Monographs 3, Academic Press 1973.

[RR] Reiten, I.; Riedtmann, Ch. Skew group algebras in the representation theory of Artin algebras. J. Algebra 92 (1985), no. 1, 224–282.

[Sm] Smith, P.S.; Some finite dimensional algebras related to elliptic curves, Rep. Theory of Algebras and Related Topics, CMS Conference Proceedings, Vol. 19, 315-348, Amer. Math. Soc 1996.

[Ste] Steinberg R.,; Finite subgroups of SU_2, Dynkin Diagrams and Affine Coxeter elements. Pacific Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 118, No. 2, 1985.

[Stu] Sturmfels B.; Algorithms in Invariant Theory, Texts and Monographs in Symbolic Computation, Springer-Verlag, 1993

(A. One and A. Two) Centro de Ciencias Matemáticas, UNAM, Morelia, Mich. México
E-mail address, A. One: `mvilla@matmor.unam.mx`
URL: `http://www.matmor.unam.mx`

Current address, A. Two: Centro de Ciencias Matemáticas, UNAM, Morelia, Mich. México
E-mail address, A. Two: `jeronimo@matmor.unam.mx`
URL: `http://www.matmor.unam.mx`